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#### Abstract

The South American genus Diapontia is revised to include nine species: Diapontia uruguayensis Keyserling, 1877 ( = Diapontia senescens Mello-Leitão, 1944 syn.n.; D. infausta Mello-Leitão, 1941 syn.n.; D. pourtaleensis Mello-Leitão, 1944 syn.n.; D. albopunctata MelloLeitão, 1941 syn.n.) from northern Paraguay, southeastern Brazil, southern Uruguay, southern to northeastern Argentina and southern Chile; D. niveovittata Mello-Leitão, 1945 from southern Paraguay, north-central Argentina and southern Brazil; D. anfibia (Zapfe-Mann, 1979) comb.n. ( = Lycosa artigasi Casanueva, 1980 syn.n.) from central and southern Chile and southwestern Argentina, transferred from Pardosa C.L. Koch, 1847; D. securifera (Tullgren, 1905) comb.n. from northern Chile and northwestern Argentina, transferred from Orinocosa Chamberlin, 1916; D. arapensis (Strand, 1908) comb.n., from Peru, transferred from Hippasella Mello-Leitão, 1944; D. calama sp.n. from northern Chile; D. songotal sp.n. from southern Bolivia; D. chamberlini sp.n. from central and southern Peru; and D. oxapampa sp.n. from northern Peru. The sister-group relationship between Diapontia and Hippasella, and their placement in the subfamily Sosippinae, were supported by phylogenetic analyses based on four molecular markers ( $28 \mathrm{~S}, 12 \mathrm{~S}$, NADH1 and COI), using Bayesian inference and maximum-likelihood. We tested whether DNA barcoding techniques were able to corroborate the identity of four Diapontia species. Diapontia securifera and D. anfibia were successfully identified using COI; however, D. niveovittata and D. uruguayensis were found to share identical haplotypes and thus could not be discriminated.
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## 1. Introduction

New exciting developments in spider phylogenetics (Ramírez 2014; Polotow et al. 2015; Wheeler et al. 2017) have brought many neglected groups into the bigger picture of spider evolution. In this contribution, we specifi-
cally trace the evolutionary history of one peculiar genus, Diapontia Keyserling, 1877, that belongs to the family Lycosidae Sundevall, 1833, which is commonly known as the wolf spiders. This family represents a relatively
recent radiation of arachnids (Jocqué \& Alderweireldt 2005; Garrison et al. 2016) and has a worldwide distribution. The Lycosidae are clearly delimited from other families based on somatic, behavioural and molecular characters (Dondale 1986; Wheeler et al. 2017) and exhibit a wide range of prey-capture strategies including burrow-dwelling, vagrant, and web building species (Dondale \& Redner 1990). In the Americas, two web building genera have been reported: Sosippus Simon, 1888 from North and Central America (see Brady 2007), and Aglaoctenus Tullgren, 1905 from South America (see Santos \& Brescovit 2001; Santos et al. 2003; Piacentini 2011). Dondale (1986) recognized the close relationship between Sosippus and Aglaoctenus and erected the subfamily Sosippinae for these two genera, placing them as the sister-group to all other lycosids. Although the subfamily was recovered as monophyletic in a molecular analysis using two markers (12S and NADH1), the relationships among subfamilies remained uncertain and had little support (Murphy et al. 2006: figs. 2, 3). The 28S marker was excluded of the analysis performed by Murphy et al. (2006: fig. 6) who suspected that there were paralogous copies of 28 S due to the implausible trees it produced.

Three characters of the male bulb were proposed by Dondale (1986) as diagnostic for Sosippinae: the loss of the terminal apophysis, a tegular groove functioning as a conductor, and the embolus lying among a cluster of tegular processes. However, Sierwald (2000) later questioned those characters pointing out that the loss of the terminal apophysis can be a plesiomorphy and the conductor function cannot be evaluated since the function of various parts of the palp is unclear. In a redescription of Aglaoctenus oblongus (C.L. Koch, 1847), the curved apophysis at the base of the embolus (labeled as "apophysis b" by Sierwald 2000) was proposed as an additional diagnostic character (Santos et al. 2003). The composition of the subfamily was later modified by Álvares \& Brescovit (2007) who redescribed Hippasella guaquiensis (Strand, 1908), the type species of the genus Hippasella Mello-Leitão, 1944 and placed it in Sosippinae; they also suggested that Diapontia should be placed in the same subfamily.

Keyserling (1877) described the genus Diapontia to accommodate three new species of South American lycosids, but he did not designate a type species. The genus was considered a junior synonym of Lycosa by Simon (1898). However, Petrunkevitch (1911) rejected the synonymy, revalidated the genus, and established Diapontia uruguayensis Keyserling, 1877 as the type species.

Lycosid species are often distinguished by small differences in the genitalia which presents a challenge to the identification of species, particularly for non-taxonomists. Recent studies have begun to use DNA barcoding as a tool to help identify species of wolf spiders (Blagoev et al. 2013; Sim et al. 2014; Blagoev \& Dondale 2014; Astrin et al. 2016; NAdonly et al. 2016). Although barcoding methods seem to work well in general, each of these studies identified groups of closely related species that exhibited low genetic distances and subtle morpholo-
gical differences, suggesting a recent divergence. This agrees with the ideas proposed by Jocqué \& Alderweireldt (2005), namely that Lycosidae is a family with a recent origin, based on characteristics of the morphology, habitat preference and the scarce fossil record. In a phylogenomic analysis, age estimates suggest that Lycosidae are among among the most recently derived families of spiders, having separated from pisaurids about 37 million years ago (Garrison et al. 2016); however, because Trechaleidae, the sister family of Lycosidae (Wheeler et al. 2017; Albo et al. 2017), was not included in the analysis, an estimated age for the origin of lycosids is not available.

The aim of this study is to review the genus Diapontia and describe four new species, test the subfamiliar placement of the genus and the relationships of Sosippinae among wolf spiders, and evaluate DNA barcoding as a method for species identification within this genus.

## 2. Material and methods

### 2.1. Figures

Drawings were made using a camera lucida mounted on an Olympus BH-2 compound microscope or a Leica M165 C stereoscopic microscope. The internal genitalia were cleared in clove oil and, in the case of non-type specimens, digested with Enzymatic Cleaner (Ultrazyme®). When several males were available for study, the male bulb was removed to clarify the morphology of its sclerites. Photographs of preserved specimens were taken with a Leica DFC 290 digital camera mounted on a Leica M165 C stereoscopic microscope. Images taken in different focal planes were combined with Helicon Focus 4.62 Pro (www.heliconsoft.com). Measurements are given in millimetres. Distribution maps were compiled with Simple Mappr (http://www.simplemappr.net). Geographic coordinates of localities were estimated in Google Earth (www.google.com/earth) if not otherwise provided.

### 2.2. Abbreviations

Morphology: A, atrium; Ac, aciniform gland spigot; AER, anterior eye row; AL, abdomen length; ALE, anterior lateral eye; ALS, anterior lateral spinneret; AME, anterior median eye; BS, base of the spermatheca; C, conductor; $\mathbf{c}$, ventral projection of tegulum; $\mathbf{C H}$, carapace height; $\mathbf{C L}$, carapace length; $\mathbf{C P}$, copulatory plug; $\mathbf{C y}$, cylindrical gland spigot; $\mathbf{C W}$, carapace width, taken in the fovea zone; E, embolus; FD, fertilization duct; HS, head of spermatheca; LAC, lateral apophysis of conductor; MA, median apophysis; PLE, posterior lateral eye; PLER, posterior lateral eye row; PLS, posterior lateral spinneret; PME, posterior median eye; PMER, posterior median eye row; PMS, posterior median spinneret; MAP,

Table 1. List of GenBank or BOLDSYSTEM reference numbers of terminals used in the Bayesian analysis.

| Family | Species | 28S | 12S | NADH | COI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lycosidae | Allocosa senex | MF410705 | MF410703 | MF410712 | SPDAR375-14 |
| Lycosidae | Gnatholycosa spinipalpis | MF410707 | - | MF410714 | SPDAR973-15 |
| Lycosidae | Anoteropsis adumbrata | D0019749 | D0019762 | D0019650 | AY059962 |
| Lycosidae | Artoria separata | D0019748 | D0019772 | D0019659 | AY059993 |
| Lycosidae | Xerolycosa nemoralis | MF410710 | D0019821 | D0019710 | NOARA114-11 |
| Lycosidae | Geolycosa missouriensis | D0019727 | D0019775 | D0019662 | - |
| Lycosidae | Lycosa erythrognatha | D0019729 | D0019782 | D0019670 | SPDAR371-14 |
| Lycosidae | Pardosa astrigera | JN816974 | D0019792 | D0019685 | AY836013 |
| Lycosidae | Pardosa californica | D0019719 | D0019794 | D0019687 | - |
| Lycosidae | Pirata subpiraticus | JN816979 | D0019804 | D0019698 | JN817187 |
| Lycosidae | Piratula hygrophila | MF410708 | D0019801 | D0019696 | NLARA214-12 |
| Lycosidae | Aglaoctenus lagotis | - | D0019753 | D0019640 | ACI9466 |
| Lycosidae | Diapontia uruguayensis | MF410706 | MF410704 | MF410713 | ACY4931 |
| Lycosidae | Hippasella alhue | MF461286 | - | MF410715 | SPDAR956-15 |
| Lycosidae | Sosippus placidus | D0019752 | D0019808 | D0019702 | D0151823 |
| Lycosidae | Anomalosa kochi | D0019722 | D0019761 | D0019649 | - |
| Lycosidae | Venonia micaroides | D0019738 | D0019819 | D0019709 | - |
| Lycosidae | Zoica sp. | MF410711 | - | MF410717 | - |
| Pisauridae | Dolomedes sp. | D0019726 | D0019774 | D0019661 | KX537485 |
| Pisauridae | Thaumasia velox | MF410709 | - | MF410718 | SPDAR967-15 |
| Trechaleidae | Paratrechalea ornata | KY190293 | - | MF410716 | - |
| Trechaleidae | Trechaleoides biocellata | KY017475 | KY015653 | - | SPDAR403-14 |
| Thomisidae | Tmarus sp. | KM225076 | - | - | SPDAR844-14 |
| Oxyopidae | Oxyopes sp. | KM225064 | KY016011 | - | SPDAR550-14 |

mayor ampullate gland spigot; mAP, minor ampullate gland spigot; S, septum; SS, stalk of spermatheca; TL, total length; VC, vulval chamber.
Collections: AMNH, American Museum of Natural History, New York, USA; CASENT, California Academy of Sciences, California, USA; FCE, Facultad de Ciencias, Montevideo, Uruguay; IBSP, Instituto Butantan, São Paulo, Brazil; MACN-Ar, Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales "Bernardino Rivadavia", Buenos Aires, Argentina; MCN, Museu de Ciências Naturais da Fundação Zoobotânica do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil; MCZ, Museum of Comparative Zoology, Massachusetts, USA; MLP, Museo de La Plata, Argentina; MNHN, Museo Nacional de Historia Natural, Santiago, Chile; MUSM, Museo de Historia Natural, Lima, Peru; MZUC-UCCC, Museo de Zoología de la Universidad de Concepción, Chile; NHAM, Naturhistoriska Riksmuseet, Stockholm, Sweden; BMNH, Natural History Museum, London, England; USNM, National Museum of Natural History, Washington, USA.

### 2.3. Terminology

Species descriptions and measurements follow Piacentini \& Grismado (2009). The notation for macrosetae follows Ramírez (2003). The nomenclature of the genital elements follows Sierwald (2000), Langlands \& Framenau (2010) and Logunov (2010). Details of the non-type specimens that were examined are listed in Electronic Supplement File 1 with a summary of localities provided in the Distribution section for each species.

Table 2. Primers used for the amplification and sequencing of DNA in this study.

| Locus | Primer | Reference | Size of <br> fragment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 S | 28 S " 0 " | Hedin \& MAdDISON 2001 | 800 bp |
|  | 28 S "C" |  |  |
| 12 S | $12 \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{ai}$ | Kocher et al. 1989 | 335 bp |
|  | 12 S -bi |  |  |
| NADH1 | TL-1-N-12718 | Hedin 1997 |  |
|  | M510 | MURPhy et al. 2006 |  |

### 2.4. Molecular data

Sequence data from previous work on lycosids (Murphy et al. 2006; Polotow et al. 2015; Wheeler et al. 2017) were accessed via GenBank and new sequence data were generated in the molecular lab at the MACN. Nine of the eleven currently recognized lycosid subfamilies, as well as exemplars of the Pisauridae, Trechaleidae, Thomisidae and Oxyopidae, were represented in the dataset (see Table 1 for accession numbers and specimen details). The sequences of COI used in the DNA barcoding analyses were from specimens submitted by us to the Barcode of Life Data System (BOLD; Ratnasinham \& Hebert 2007) (see Table S2.4 in Electronic Supplement File 2 for accession numbers and specimen details). Genomic DNA was extracted from muscle tissue taken from the leg of each specimen using the Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit or with the Chelex extraction following the protocol proposed by Casquet et al. (2012) modified by an additional final step of heating the samples for 20 min at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Fig. 1. Bayesian inference tree of Lycosidae and outgroup taxa, based on a combined analysis of 28S, 12S, NADH and COI gene fragments ( 2,132 base pairs). Values above branches are posterior probabilities, below branches are ML bootstrap values (support values below 0.95 and 50 , respectively, are not shown).

Four gene loci were selected to test the subfamily placement of Diapontia because they evolve at different rates and provide phylogenetic resolution at different, overlapping taxonomic levels. Primers for each locus are given in Table 2. The barcode region of the cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) gene obtained from BOLD was 658 bp . Details of PCR conditions, such as reagent mix composition and thermal profiles follows Murphy et al. (2006) except for 28 S , for which a double cycle was performed with an initial step of $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min , followed by 10 cycles of $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s , an annealing temperature of $56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s and an extension temperature of $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 s , then 25 cycles with an anneling temperature of $54^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Bioedit v. 7.2.5 (Hall 1999) was used to view chromatograms and edit raw sequence data for the four gene loci. Alignments of protein-encoding genes (COI and NADH1) were trivial due to the lack of gaps. To align the ribosomal genes, we used the online version of MAFFT v. 7 (Катон \& Standley 2013), by applying the "Auto" strategy and a gap-opening penalty of 1.53 and the output alignment was processed with the online version of GBlocks (CASTRESANA 2000) to remove positions of ambiguous homology. Nucleotide composition homogeneity tests were conducted separately on the alignments of each locus (and codon position for COI and NADH1) using Tree-Puzzle v. 5.2 (Schmidt et al. 2002) to verify, based on a chi-squared test, whether all partitions were appropriate for phylogenetic reconstruction (ROSENBERG \& Kumar 2003). The program Partitionfinder v 1.1.1 (Lanfear et al. 2012) was used to select the best partition schema and the fitting model of evolution for each parti-
tion using the Akaike's information criterion. Bayesian analysis was performed using MrBayes 3.2.6 (Ronquist et al. 2012) through on the CIPRES Science Gateway (Miller et al. 2010). The tree search was conducted with two independent analyses consisting of one cold and three heated MCMC chains with 20.000 .000 generations and sampling every 2000 generations (ngen= 20.000 .000 printfreq $=2.000$ samplefreq $=2000$ nruns $=2$ nchains=4). The first $25 \%$ of each search was discarded as 'burn-in' (burninfrac $=0.25$ ). The program TRACER ver. 1.6 (Rambaut et al. 2014) was used to ensure that the Markov chains had reached stationarity by examining the effective sample size values (above 200). Maximum likelihood analysis was performed with RAxML version 8.2.9 (Stamatakis 2014) through the CIPRES Science Gateway (Miller et al. 2010) with default settings. For the DNA barcoding analysis, we used the tools provided by the Barcode of Life Data System (BOLD; Ratnasingham \& Hebert 2007) to perform the nearest neighbour analyses as in Nadolny et al. (2016).

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Subfamily placement

The molecular markers 28 S , 12 S and COI passed the homogeneity test and therefore did not require any transformation. However, the third codon position of NADH1


Fig. 2. Neighbour-joining tree obtained of the analysis of COI gene fragment through of BOLDSYSTEM platform showing the relationships for species of Diapontia Keyserling. Data presented as: Species name \| BOLD Process ID | BIN number. Each color represents a different species.
failed the test for homogeneity and therefore we substituted R for adenine and guanine and Y for cytosine and thymine after which it passed the homogeneity test. Results of the PartitionFinder analysis indicated that the best partition scheme for COI was to model each codon position independently. Thus, we ran six partitions: 28 S , 12S, NADH1, COI_1, COI_2 and COI_3. For 28S, 12S and NADH1 the best-fit model GTR+G was applied. The best fit model TVM $+\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{G}$ was selected for COI_1 and COI_3, and F81+I for COI_2.

MURPHY et al. (2006) questioned the utility of 28 S in their lycosid phylogeny due to the presence of paralogous copies in some of their terminals; however, for the taxa selected in this study, the 28 S gene tree topology agreed with those obtained using the mithochondrial markers, thus we used the marker in our analyses.

The final matrix was composed of 24 taxa and 2132 characters ( 583 from 28S, 279 from 12S, 613 from NADH1, and 657 from COI). The resulting tree had high posterior support for the monophyly of families and subfamilies (Fig. 1) and supports the placement of Diapontia in Sosippinae. The tree obtained in the maximum likelihood analysis (Fig. S2.5 in Electronic Supplement File 2) has a topology similar to that of the Bayesian tree, except for the relative position of three groups with low
support: Xerolycosa as sister group of Venoniinae + Piratinae + Zoicinae instead of sister of Allocosinae; Allocosinae as sister group of Lycosinae + Pardosinae instead of Xerolycosa; and Aglaoctenus, which emerged in a clade with Sosippus instead of sister to Hippasella + Diapontia. High boostrap values were obtained for families and subfamilies, which are summarized in the Bayesian tree (Fig. 1).

### 3.2. DNA barcode analysis

For the DNA barcode analysis, we obtained twenty sequences from four Diapontia species, with a length of 658 bp (except MACN-Ar 31393, with 624 bp ). The four species for which barcode data were examined were separated on four BINs (Ratnasingham \& Hebert 2013) (Fig. 2): ACI9545, composed of specimens of $D$. anfibia; ACY3741, composed of specimens of $D$. securifera; ACY4930, composed of two specimens of $D$. niveovittata; and ACY4931, composed of specimens of $D$. uruguayensis and $D$. niveovittata.

The mean intraspecific divergence (MID) and the maximum intraspecific divergence (MXID) are summarized in Table S2.2 in Electronic Supplement File 2. Dia-


Fig. 3. Diapontia niveovittata Mello-Leitão female. A: Spinnerets posteroventral view. B: ALS spinning field. C: PMS spinning field, bottom left, mAP field detail. D: PLS spinning field - Abbreviations: Ac, aciniform spigot; ALS, anterior lateral spinnerets; Cy, cylindrical spigot; mAP, minor ampullate gland; MAP, mayor ampullate gland; Pi, piriform gland spigots; PLS, posterior lateral spinnerets; PMS, posterior median spinnerets. - Scale bars: A 0.50 mm ; B 0.10 mm ; C,D 0.20 mm .
pontia anfibia is recovered in two groups, one composed of specimens from the Argentinian provinces of Chubut and Río Negro, with identical sequences and the other of two specimens of Bío Bío, Chile, and these groups are separated by $1.23 \%$ of divergence. The specimens of Diapontia uruguayensis emerged in a clade that also contains representatives of $D$. niveovittata, and the mean divergence of the group is $1.12 \%$ with a maximum divergence of $2.49 \%$. The minimal divergence observed between the $D$. niveovittata and $D$. uruguayensis was zero since there were specimens with identical sequences. As founded on other lycosids (Correa-Ramírez et al. 2010), most of the differences on the sequences are silent mutations, except for three on $D$. securifera specimens and the other in one specimen of $D$. niveovittata (MACN-Ar 31348).

Results of the nearest neighbour analysis (Fig. S2.3 in Electronic Supplement File 2) showed the presence of DNA barcoding gap between $D$. securifera and $D$. anfibia, and its absence between $D$. uruguayensis and $D$. niveovittata, as expected due to the existence of identical sequences for those species (Hebert et al. 2003; Meyer \& Paulay 2005).

### 3.3. Taxonomy

Lycosidae Sundevall, 1833
Sosippinae Dondale, 1986

### 3.3.1. Genus Diapontia Keyserling, 1877

Diapontia Keyserling, 1877: 675 (type species D. uruguayensis Keyserling, subsequent designation by Petrunkevitch 1911: 553). Roewer 1955: 240. Roewer 1960: 756. Petrunkevitch 1911: 553 (Reval.).
Lycosa: Simon, 1898: 347 (Syn.).
Differential diagnosis. The absence of terminal apophysis and the presence of a lateral apophysis on the conductor identify Diapontia as a member of the lycosid subfamily Sosippinae. Within this subfamily, males can be distinguished from the remaining Sosippinae by the Sshaped trajectory of the sperm duct (Fig. 7C) and females by the short stalk of the spermatheca (Fig. 7G).

Description. Medium-sized wolf spiders. Total length $6.52-18.36$; carapace length $2.93-7.71$, width $2.00-5.60$,


Fig. 4. Diapontia uruguayensis Keyserling A-C female, D,E male. A: Left tarsus of leg I, ventral view. B: Intertarsal claw left leg I. C: Trichobothrial socket. D: Tip of cymbium. E: Chelicera anterior view. - Scale bars: A 0.50 mm ; B,C 0.02 mm ; D 0.20 mm ; E 0.40 mm .
dorsal profile straight in lateral view. Carapace with two longitudinal submarginal pale bands enhanced by white setae (Fig. 10A), cephalic region narrowest in dorsal view, additionally in $D$. niveovittata and occasionally on $D$. uruguayensis there is a thin median pale band extending from the fovea to the PLER (Fig. 10C). Caput flanks gently sloping in frontal view, AER slightly procurved, somewhat wider than PMER but narrower than PLER, ALE disposed on small tubercles. Sternum longer than wide, reddish or yellowish-brown: D. uruguayensis, $D$. niveovittata and D. anfibia usually have a darker median band, also with dark areas opposing the coxae juntions (Fig. 10J). Chelicerae robust, reddish-brown or brown with three promarginal and three retromarginal teeth of the same size. Labium and endites yellowish brown or brown. Legs uniform light yellow-brown (Fig. 10J) to yellowish brown with darker areas (Fig. 16H), leg formula IV-I-II-III or IV-I-III-II. Tarsi with dense scopula, metatarsi I and II with weak scopula on the distal end (Fig. 4A). Tarsus with three claws, intertarsal claw with a single tooth (Fig. 4B). Abdomen ovoid, cardiac area usually marked, and two lines of white setae, either continuous or formed by spots (Fig. 10D), sometimes with broad light yellow-brown bands (Fig. 10B) or without any mark (Fig. 16E). Lateral margins of the abdomen uniform except in $D$. uruguayensis, $D$. niveovittata and $D$. anfibia which have numerous tufts of white setae
ventrally yellowish brown (Fig. 16I), sometimes with obscure bands from the epigastric furrow to the spinnerets (Fig. 10I). Colulus as a fleshy triangular lobe, with several setae. The spinnerets have a similar conformation to that reported for other Lycosidae species (Santos \& Brescovit 2001; Townley \& Tillinghast 2003; Dolej et al. 2014; Piacentini 2014): ALS and PLS two-segmented, basal segment of PLS elongated (Fig. 3A). Anterior lateral spinnerets with two major ampullate gland spigots on the mesal margin (the posterior one reduced to a nubbin in the male) and about 60 piriform gland spigots (Fig. 3B). Posterior median spinnerets with aciniform and cylindrical gland spigots (absent in male), with a few setae between them, one minor ampullate gland spigot with a nubbin and a tartipore close to it PMS (Fig. 3C) with about 30 aciniform and six cylindrical gland spigots (absent in male), with few setae among them. Female PMS with two minor ampullate gland spigots with a tartipore close to them on the PMS (Fig. 3C) and only one minor ampullate gland spigot in the male. Posterior lateral spinnerets long, tubular, distal segment short, conical, with aciniform and cylindrical gland spigots (absent in male), with setae among them (Fig. 3D).

Palp of males with tibia longer than wide, without any apophysis or stridulatory organ, cymbium longer than the tibia, without macrosetae on its tip (Fig. 4D); conductor and lateral apophysis of the conductor fused, arising from


Fig. 5. Male genitalia. A,D: Diapontia uruguayensis Keyserling. B,E: Diapontia niveovittata Mello-Leitão. C,F,I: Diapontia anfibia (Zapfe-Mann) comb.n. G,H: Diapontia securifera (Tullgren) comb.n. A-C,G,H: Ventral view. D-F: Apical view. I: Retrolateral view. Abbreviations: c, ventral projection of tegulum; E, embolus; LAC, lateral apophysis of conductor; MA, median apophysis. -Scale bars: A-C,F,H 0.20 mm ; D,E 0.50 mm ; G 0.40 mm ; I 0.10 mm .
the retrolateral side of the bulb, with a rugose surface on the retrolateral and dorsal sides (Fig. 7C,D). Median apophysis laminar, poorly developed and retrolaterally (Fig. $7 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C}$ ) or longitudally directed (Fig. 13B). The ventral section of the bulb has an outgrowth of the tegular wall (Fig. 7B), labeled as apophysis c as in Sierwald (2000). Embolic division without apophysis, embolus C-shaped, pars pendula developed (Fig. 7B).

Epigyne of the female with a well-developed septum of different shapes, with lateral projections on $D$. uruguayensis (Fig. 7F) and D. anfibia (Fig. 9G). Atria shallow (Fig. 7F) or deep (Fig. 15D), limiting with bracket-
like lateral lobes (Fig. 9G), the vulval chamber can be observed due to the transparency of the lateral lobes (Fig. 9G). Vulvar chamber developed (Fig. 7G), connected to the base of the spermatheca, stalk of the spermatheca usually short and straight, head of the spermatheca of the same width as the stalk, recognized by its pores (Fig. 18E). Bennet's gland present (Fig. 6G).

Distribution. Peru, Bolivia, Chile, southern Brazil, Paraguay, Uruguay and Argentina (Fig. 20).


Fig. 6. Female genitalia. A,E: Diapontia uruguayensis Keyserling. B,F,G: Diapontia anfibia (Zapfe-Mann) comb.n. C: Diapontia securifera (Tullgren) comb.n. D,H: Diapontia oxapampa sp.n. A-D: Epigyne, ventral view. D-H: Vulva, dorsal view. - Abbreviations: A, atrium; BG, Bennet's gland; CP, copulatory plug; FD, fertilization ducts; HS, head of spermatheca; LL, lateral lobes of epigyne; LP lateral projections of septum; S, septum; SS, stalk of spermatheca; VC, vulval chamber. - Scale bars: B,C,D,F,H 0.20 mm ; G 0.10 mm ; A 0.30 mm ; E 0.50 mm .

### 3.3.2. Diapontia uruguayensis Keyserling, 1877

Figs. 4, 5A,D, 6A,E, 7, 10A,B,G-H, 11B-D, 20

Diapontia uruguayensis Keyserling, 1877: 675, plate 8, fig. 48. Lycosa uruguayensis: Simon 1898: 347.
Tarentula uruguayensis: Strand 1908: 255 (likely a misidentification, see remark below).
Diapontia pourtaleensis Mello-Leitão, 1944: 341, fig. 30. Syn.n.
Diapontia senescens Mello-Leitão, 1944: 342, fig. 31. Syn.n.
Diapontia albopunctata Mello-Leitão, 1941: 123, fig. 20, plate 4, fig. 15. Syn.n.
Trochosa albopunctata: Roewer 1955: 301.
Diapontia infausta Mello-Leitão, 1941: 123, fig. 21, plate 4, fig. 16. Syn.n.

Trochosa infausta: Roewer 1955: 301.
Remarks. Strand (1908) described males and females of $D$. uruguayensis from Guaqui, Peru (currently Bolivia); at the end of the description, the author notes that the female epigyne had some differences to that described by Keyserling (1877), but considered these differences as a consequence of secretions. Since these specimens were destroyed during the World War II (in letter from Otto Kraus to María E. Galiano, November 1983), and there are no other records of $D$. uruguayensis from Guaqui or nearby localities, we consider it unlikely that the specimens examined by Strand were $D$. uruguayensis, but probably $D$. securifera that are morphologically similar and occur in nearby localities.

Differential diagnosis. Males of Diapontia uruguayensis resemble those of $D$. anfibia and $D$. niveovittata by the embolus running almost perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the bulb on retrolateral view (Figs. 7A, 8A, 9 A ) and females by the presence of lateral projections on the septum (reduced on $D$. niveovittata); males can be differentiated by those of $D$. anfibia by the straight shape
of the LAC (Figs. 5D, 7E) and from D. niveovittata by the elongated apophysis c (Fig. 7B). Females differ from those of $D$. anfibia by the absence of the incision on the septum (Figs. 6A, 7F) and from D. niveovittata in the lateral projections of the septum (Figs. 6A, 7F).

Synonymy. The holotype female of $D$. uruguayensis was compared with the type of Diapontia pourtaleensis MelloLeitão, 1944, D. senescens Mello-Leitão, 1944, D. albopunctata Mello-Leitão, 1941 and $D$. infausta Mello-Leitão, 1941 and showed no significant morphological differences.

Description. Male (MACN-Ar 31393): Carapace brown with pale submarginal bands, radial pattern indistinct (Fig. 10B). Sternum light yellow-brown, with a dark brown longitudinal median band and irregular dark marks opposing the coxae junctions (Fig. 10H). Labium and endites light yellow-brown (Fig. 10H). Chelicerae light yellow-brown; covered with brown bristles. Abdomen dark brown with two parallel longitudinal white bands, venter light yellowbrown with two central dark bands (Fig. 10H). Lateral margins of the abdomen with numerous tufts of white setae. Legs light yellow-brown without annulations.

Pedipalp as in Fig. 7A-E. Subtegulum small, located medially on the resting bulb. Tegulum large with a welldeveloped conductor, fused with the lateral apophysis (Fig. 7C, LAC). Lateral apophysis of the conductor triangular in apical view, with rounded tip (Fig. 7E). Apophysis c triangular (Fig. 7B, c). Median apophysis laminar, longitudinally directed (Fig. 7B, MA); sperm duct with an S-shaped trajectory in retrolateral view; embolic division without apophysis (Fig. 7E); embolus C-shaped with pars pendula well-developed (Fig. 7E, Pp).

Leg formula 4123. Spination pattern: femora I p 0-0-$0-\mathrm{d} 2 \mathbf{d} 1-0-1-1 \mathbf{r} 0-1-0-1$, II p $0-1-0-1$ d 1-0-1-1 $\mathbf{r}$ 0-1-


Fig. 7. Diapontia uruguayensis Keyserling, genitalia. A-E: Male bulb (MACN-Ar 31393): A prolateral; B ventral; C retrolateral; D dorsal; E apical. F: Epigyne ventral (FCE 3866). G: Vulva (FCE 3866). H: Epigyne ventral (MACN-Ar 23523). I: Epigyne ventral (MACN-Ar 7765). J: Epigyne ventral (MACN-Ar 7766). K: Epigyne ventral (FCE 3867). - Abbreviations: A, atrium; C, conductor; c, ventral projection of tegulum; CP, copulatory plug; E, embolus; FD, fertilization ducts; HS, head of spermatheca; LAC, lateral apophysis of conductor; MA, median apophysis; S, septum; SS, stalk of spermatheca; VC, vulval chamber. - Scale bars: A-F,H-K 0.20 mm ; G 0.50 mm .
$0-1$, III p 0-1-0-1 d 1-0-1-1 r 0-1-0-1, IV p 0-1-0-1 d 1-0-1-1 r 0-0-0-1; patellae Ip $1 \mathbf{r} 1$, II p $1 \mathbf{r} 1$, III p $1 \mathbf{r} 1$, IV $\mathbf{p} 1 \mathbf{r} 1$; tibiae Ip d1-d1 $\mathbf{r}$ d1-d1 v 2-2-2ap, II $\mathbf{p}$ d1-d1 d 0-1 $\mathbf{r}$ d1-d1 v r1-2-2ap, III p d1-d1 d 1-1 $\mathbf{r}$ 1-1 vp1-22ap, IV p 1-1 d 1-1 r 1-1 vp1-2-2ap; metatarsi I p 1-1ap r 0-1 ap $\mathbf{v}$ 2-2-3ap, II p 1-1-1ap r 1-1-1ap $\mathbf{v}$ 2-2-3ap, III
p d1-d1-d1ap r d1-d1-d1ap v 2-2-3ap, IV p d1-d1-d1ap r d1-d1-d1ap v 2-2-3ap.

Female (FCE 3866): Carapace brown with pale submarginal bands, radial pattern indistinct (Fig. 10A). Sternum dark brown (Fig. 10G). Labium and endites brown (Fig. 10G). Chelicerae brown; covered with brown bris-
tles. Abdomen dark brown with two parallel longitudinal white bands, venter light yellow-brown with two central dark bands (Fig. 10A). Lateral margins of the abdomen with numerous tufts of white setae. Legs light yellowbrown without annulations.

Epigyne as in Fig. 7F, atria comma-shaped (Fig. 7F, A) septum broad with rounded lateral projections; copulatory openings located on or at lateral margins of the septum. Vulva as in Fig. 7F, head of spermathecae (Fig. 7G, HS) similar in width to the short and straight stalk, vulval chambers rounded (Fig. 7G, VC).

Leg formula 4123. Spination pattern: femora I p 0-0-$0-\mathrm{d} 2 \mathbf{d} 1-0-1-1 \mathbf{r} 0-1-0-1$, II p $0-1-0-1$ d 1-0-1-1 $\mathbf{r}$ 0-1-$0-1$, III $\mathbf{p} 0-1-0-1 \mathbf{d} 1-0-1-1 \mathbf{r} 0-1-0-1$, IV $\mathbf{p} 0-1-0-1 \mathbf{d}$ 1-0-1-1 r 0-0-0-1; patellae I r 1 , II p $1 \mathbf{r} 1$, III p $1 \mathbf{d} 1-1$ r 1, IV p 1d 1-1 r 1 ; tibiae Ip $\mathbf{~ 0 - d 1 v 2 - 2 - 2 a p , ~ I I ~ p ~ d 1 - d 1 ~}$ $\mathbf{v}$ p1-2-2ap, III p d1-d1 d 1-1 r 1-1 v p1-2-2ap, IV $\mathbf{p}$ d1d1 d 1-1 r d1-d1 vp1-2-2ap; metatarsi I p 1-1ap $\mathbf{r}$ 0-1ap v 2-2-3ap, II p 1-1ap $\mathbf{r} 0$-1ap $\mathbf{v}$ 2-2-3ap, III p 1-1-1ap $\mathbf{r}$ 1-1-1ap $\mathbf{v} 2-2-3 \mathrm{ap}$, IV p 1-1-1ap r 1-1-1ap $\mathbf{v} 2-2-3 \mathrm{ap}$.

Measurements: Female, FCE 3866 (male, MACN-Ar 31393): TL 9.58 (7.85), PL 4.93 (4.13), PW 3.93 (3.27), PH 1.87 (1.47), AL 5.33 (3.67). Eyes: AME 0.22 (0.17), ALE 0.18 (0.15), PME 0.32 (0.25), PLE 0.33 (0.27). Row of eyes: AER 1.08 (0.92), PMER 0.92 (0.77), PLER 1.42 (1.20). Sternum (length/width) 2.33/2.07 (1.93/1.60). Labium (length/width) 0.83/0.68 (0.55/0.58). Legs: length of segments (femur + patella/tibia + metatarsus + tarsus $=$ total length $): \mathbf{I} 3.67+4.80+2.73+1.53=12.7$, II $3.47+4.27+2.80+1.60=12.14$, $\mathbf{I I I} 3.33+4.00+$ $2.93+1.53=11.79, \mathbf{I V} 4.53+5.93+4.33+2.07=16.86$ $(\mathbf{I} 3.33+4.33+2.73+1.60=11.99$, $\mathbf{I I} 3.27+4.27+$ $2.73+1.53=11.80$, III $3.27+3.93+3.00+1.60=11.80$, IV $4.27+5.07+4.67+2.07=16.08)$.

Variation: Female (male) (range, mean $\pm$ s.d.): TL $7.28-14.10,10.28 \pm 2.02$; CL 3.68-5.99, $4.88 \pm 0.65$; CW $3.02-5.00,3.85 \pm 0.55 ; \mathrm{n}=10$ (TL 6.09-10.70, $7.40 \pm$ 1.47; CL 3.25-4.92, 3.88 $\pm 0.61$; CW 2.43-3.95, $3.14 \pm$ $0.46 ; n=10$ ). Some colour variation was recorded. The carapace of males and females can have occasionally a fine light median band enhanced by white setae and the sternum varies from dark brown to light yellow-brown, with a dark brown longitudinal median band and irregular dark marks opposite the base of each coxa. Some specimens have three retromarginal teeth on one chelicera and four on the other, or 4-4 (left-right); in only one case, we recorded 6-4. Variations in the shape of the median septum are illustrated in Fig. $7 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{K}$.

Type material examined. HOLOTYPE of $D$. uruguayensis ${ }_{q}$, 'URUGUAY, 2010-19 | Diapontia uruguayensis type', (BMNH 2010-19). HOLOTYPE of D. pourtaleensis $\widehat{ }$,' 'ARGENTINA, Buenos Aires, Pourtalé II-1941, M. Birabén leg.' | '16025', (MLP16025). HOLOTYPE of $D$. senescens $q$, 'ARGENTINA, Buenos Aires, General Guido II-1941, M. Birabén leg' | '16030', (MLP16030). HOLOTYPE of D. albopunctata , 'ARGENTINA, Córdoba, Anisacate I-1939, M. Birabén leg.' '14675', (MLP-14675). HOLOTYPE of D. infausta , ‘ARGENTINA, Córdoba, Río Segundo'| '14676', (MLP-14676).
Other material examined. See Electronic Supplement File 1.

Distribution. Northern Paraguay (recorded from Concepción Province), southeastern Brazil (Rio Grande do Sul), southern Uruguay (Maldonado, Rocha and Canelones Provinces), southern to northeastern Argentina (Chaco, La Rioja, San Juan, San Luis, Córdoba, Entre Ríos, Buenos Aires, Neuquén and Chubut) and southern Chile (Aysén and Palena Provinces) (Fig. 20A).

Natural history. Many specimens were collected from marshy vegetation in open spaces. Females and immatures construct a funnel web between low vegetation or at ground level using holes in the mud as retreats (Fig. $11 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C})$. The web consists of a woven sheet with a funnel retreat leading into the ground or dense vegetation. Adult males and females are collected throughout the year, near water bodies, on webs or running over the water. Eggsacs were recorded in spring and summer; a female was collected with 93 spiderlings in April (MACNAr 34175) and another with 108 spiderlings in November (FCE 3866).

In August 2015 during floods in Paraje el Destino, Lezama (Buenos Aires Province) a mass accumulation of spiders covered the vegetation on the road embankment with a dense sheet of white silk. Diapontia uruguayensis was the second most abundant species following the lycosine Alopecosa moesta (Holmberg, 1876) in the samples, and we observed many specimens ballooning.

We observed four sexual encounters. In three cases the male tried to copulate without any courtship when it was introduced to the female container. In the fourth encounter the male began a courtship with short steps raising the abdomen and moving it from side to side and elevating both legs I. While approaching to the female the male moved the palps resembling pedaling. This kind of courtship was also observed in a male placed in an empty female cage, suggesting that chemical cues are involved, as is common in Lycosidae (Rypstra et al. 2003; Roberts \& Uetz 2005). In two cases the male mounted the female from behind and tried to introduce the embolus in the epigyne from this position and then turned 180 degrees. In the other two cases the male mounted the female from the front and locking the first pair of legs of the female with its own legs (Fig. 11D), adopting the typical position described for lycosids (Farley \& Shear 1973). The duration of copulations ranged from 1 hour and 42 minutes to 4 hours and 23 minutes. In the longest copulation the female became active five times and walked around with the male still on her dorsum; when the female stopped, the male touch the female with his legs I and II across the abdomen. After mating finished the females remained motionless for several minutes since the males move away. The insertions series (as defined in Rovner 1974) consisted of 67 insertions in the shortest encounter and 53 in the longest.

One month and seven days after mating, one of the females made an eggsac. The first step of the eggsac construction was a creation of a dense circular white silk platform over the sheet web; on this, she laid the eggs in two layers, and then covered the eggs with another circular dense white web. The female did this by stradd-
ling the eggs, with the palps touching the borders of the semicircular web and moving the abdomen from left to right, applying silk lines on the borders and then elevating the pedicelum and touching the opposite side of the web. Once the eggs were covered, the female started to cut the borders with the chelicerae, pulling the eggsac and picking up it with the legs III-IV. When the eggsac was almost released the female pulled very strongly, with the eggsac already attached to the spinnerets; as the eggsac did not release she turned the carapace and cut the attachment with the chelicerae. The leftover of the circular valves were bent by the female with the chelicerae and palps, all in the same direction. Once the eggsac was fully released from the web, the mother remained still for 18 minutes, then picked up the eggsac with the chelicerae and turned it upside down. The side of the eggsac that was facing up acquired an aquamarine colour (Fig. 12B). After ten minutes, the bottom half also become aquamarine; the only part that remained white was on the union of the two valves. The eggsac was lenticular in shape and about 6 mm in diameter; the next day the eggsac had a dark green colour (Fig. 12C).
3.3.3. Diapontia niveovittata Mello-Leitão, 1945

Figs. 3, 5B,E, 8, 10C,D,I,J, 11A, 12B,C, 20A

Diapontia niveovittata Mello-Leitão, 1945: 248.
Remarks. Mello-Leitão (1945) described this species based on one male and one female from Curuzu Cuatiá, Corrientes, without providing illustrations or description of the genitalia and indicating that the specimens were deposited on the Museo de La Plata in the vial MLP 14490. We examined the vial and found only a subadult male in very bad condition, with the carapace, appendages and abdomen separated. We recognize this species through material collected near the type locality and the original description.

Differential diagnosis. Males of Diapontia niveovittata resemble those of $D$. anfibia and $D$. uruguayensis by the embolus running almost perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the bulb in retrolateral view (Figs. 7A, 8A, 9A) and females by the broad and shallow atria (Fig. 7F, 8F, 9F); males can be differentiated by small apophysis c (Figs. 5B, 8B) and females by the reduction of the lateral projections of the septum (Fig. 8F).

Description. Male (MACN-Ar 28793): Carapace brown with a fine light median band enhanced by white setae and pale submarginal bands, radial pattern indistinct (Fig. 10D). Sternum pale, with a dark brown longitudinal median band and irregular dark marks opposite to the base of the coxae (Fig. 10J). Labium and endites light yellow-brown (Fig. 10J). Chelicerae light yellow-brown; covered with brown bristles. Dorsum of abdomen dark brown with two parallel longitudinal white bands (Fig. 10D), venter light yellow-brown with two central dark
bands (Fig. 10J). Lateral margins of the abdomen with numerous tufts of white setae. Legs light yellow-brown without annulations.

Pedipalp as in Fig. 8A-E. Subtegulum small, located medially on the resting bulb. Tegulum large with a well-developed conductor, fused apically with the lateral apophysis (Fig. 8C, LAC), lateral apophysis of the conductor triangular in apical view, with an acute tip (Fig. 8E). Apophysis c as a small tegular projection (Fig. 8B). Median apophysis laminar, longitudinally directed (Fig. 8B, MA); sperm duct with an S-shaped trajectory in retrolateral view; embolic division without apophysis (Fig. 8C, SD); embolus with pars pendula (Fig. 8C, Pp).

Leg formula 4132. Spination pattern: femora I p 0-0-$0-\mathrm{d} 2 \mathbf{d} 1-0-1-1 \mathbf{r} 0-1-0-1$, II $\mathbf{p} 0-1-0-1 \mathbf{d} 1-0-1-1 \mathbf{r} 0-1-$ $0-1$, III p 0-1-0-1 d 1-0-1-1 r 0-1-0-1, IV p 0-1-0-1 d 1-0-1-1 r 0-0-0-1; patellae Ir 1 , II p $1 \mathbf{r} 1$, III p $1 \mathbf{r} 1$, IV p $1 \mathbf{r} 1$; tibiae I p 0-d1 v 2-2-2ap, II p d1-d1 v 2-2-2ap $\mathbf{r}$ d1-d1, III p d1-d1 d 1-1 r 1-1 v 2-2-2ap, IV p d1-d1 d 1-1 r d1-d1 v 2-2-2ap; metatarsi Ip 1-1ap $\mathbf{r} 0$-1ap $\mathbf{v}$ 2-23ap, II p 1-1ap r 1-1ap $\mathbf{v} 2-2-3 a p$, III p 1-1ap $\mathbf{r}$ 1-1ap $\mathbf{v}$ 2-2-3ap, IV p 1-1ap r 1-1 ap v 2-2-3ap.

Female (MACN-Ar 13301): Colour in ethanol as in male (Fig. 10C,I).

Epigyne as in Fig. 8F, septum triangular shape without projections; copulatory openings located on or at lateral margins of the septum. Vulva as in Fig. 8G, head of spermathecae (Fig. 8G, HS) similar in width to the short and straight stalk, vulval chambers rounded (Fig. 8G, VC).

Leg formula 4132. Spination pattern: femora I p 0-0-$0-\mathrm{d} 2$ d $1-0-1-1 \mathbf{r} 0-1-0-1$, II p $0-1-0-1$ d $1-0-1-1 \mathbf{r} 0-1-$ $0-1$, III p 0-1-0-1 d 1-0-1-1 r 0-1-0-1, IV p 0-1-0-1 d 1-0-1-1 r 0-0-0-1; patellae II p 1, III p $1 \mathbf{r} 1$, IV $\mathbf{p} 1 \mathbf{r} 1$; tibiae I p 0-d1 v 2-2-2ap, II p d1-d1 v 2-2-2ap $\mathbf{r} 0-\mathrm{d} 1$, III p d1-d1 d 1-1 r 1-1 vpl-2-2ap, IV p d1-d1 d 1-1 r d1-d1 v p1-2-2ap; metatarsi I p 0-1ap r 0-1ap v 2-2-3ap, II p d1-1ap r 0-1ap v 2-2-3ap, III p 0-1ap r 0-1ap v 2-2-3ap, d 2-2-1 ap, IV d 2-2-2ap, v 2-2-3ap.

Measurements: Male, MACN-Ar 28793 (Female, MACN-Ar 13301): TL 7.32 (8.91), PL 3.73 (4.67), PW 2.87 (3.60), PH 1.47 (1.67), AL 3.27 (4.13). Eyes: AME 0.15 (0.20), ALE 0.13 (0.17), PME 0.32 (0.30), PLE 0.23 (0.27). Row of eyes: AER 0.85 (1.25), PMER 0.73 (0.93), PLER 1.33 (1.42). Sternum (length/width) 2.07/1.53 (2.47/2.00). Labium (length/width) 0.58/0.55. (0.75/0.72) Legs: length of segments (femur + patella/ tibia + metatarsus + tarsus $=$ total length $): \mathbf{I} 2.93+3.93$ $+2.40+1.53=10.79$, II $2.80+3.73+2.47+1.40=$ 10.40 , III $3.07+3.53+2.73+1.40=10.73$, IV $3.60+$ $4.73+3.87+1.93=14.13(\mathbf{I} 4.00+5.00+3.00+1.67=$ 13.67, II $3.47+5.20+2.87+1.60=13.14$, III $3.33+$ $4.07+3.07+1.40=11.87$, IV $4.67+5.87+4.80+2.13=$ 17.47).

Variation: Male (female) (range, mean $\pm$ s.d.): TL $6.52-7.32,6.86 \pm 0.29$; CL $2.87-3.33,3.45 \pm 0.17$; CW $2.53-2.87,2.71 \pm 0.13 ; \mathrm{n}=6$ (TL 8.91-10.91, $9.58 \pm 1.15$; CL 4.67-5.40, 4.98 $\pm 0.38$; CW 3.60-4.13, $3.84 \pm 0.27$; $\mathrm{n}=10$ ).


Fig. 8. Diapontia niveovittata Mello-Leitão, genitalia. A-E: Male bulb (MACN-Ar 30520): A prolateral; B ventral; C retrolateral; D dorsal; E apical. F: Epigyne ventral (MACN-Ar 28615). G: Vulva (MACN-Ar 28615). - Abbreviations: A, atrium; C, conductor; c, ventral projection of tegulum; E, embolus; FD, fertilization ducts; HS, head of spermatheca; LAC, lateral apophysis of conductor; MA, median apophysis; S, septum; SS, stalk of spermatheca; VC, vulval chamber. - Scale bars: 0.20 mm .

Type material examined. SYNTYPE of $D$. niveovittata subadult male, 'ARGENTINA, Corrientes, Curuzú-Cuatiá, XI-1941, M. Birabén leg.' | '14490', (MLP 14490).
Other material examined. See Electronic Supplement File 1.
Distribution. Southern Paraguay (Asunción), NorthCentral Argentina (Salta, Formosa, Corrientes, Santa Fe, San Juan, Entre Ríos and Buenos Aires Provinces) and southern Brazil (São Paulo) (Fig. 20A).

Natural history. Most specimens were collected in funnel webs similar to those of $D$. uruguayensis or running over the water surface. Adult males and females were collected throughout the year, females with eggsac were recorded in spring, summer and autumn. One female was collected with 115 spiderlings in autumn.


Fig. 9. Diapontia anfibia (Zapfe-Mann) comb.n., genitalia. A-E: Male bulb (male from Concepción, Chile; AMNH): A prolateral; B ventral; C retrolateral; D dorsal; E apical. F: Epigyne ventral (female from Concepción, Chile AMNH). G: Epigyne ventral (female from Concepción, Chile AMNH). H: vulva (female from Concepción, Chile AMNH). - Abbreviations: A, atrium; C, conductor; c, ventral projection of tegulum; E, embolus; FD, fertilization ducts; HS, head of spermatheca; LAC, lateral apophysis of conductor; LL, lateral lobes of epigyne; MA, median apophysis; S, septum; SS, stalk of spermatheca; VC, vulval chamber. - Scale bars: 0.20 mm .

### 3.3.4. Diapontia anfibia (Zapfe-Mann, 1979) comb.n.

Figs. 5C,F,I, 6B,F,G, 12A, 9, 10E,F,K,L, 20A

Pardosa anfibia Zapfe-Mann, 1979: 3, figs. 3, 4. Lycosa artigasi Casanueva, 1980: 25, figs. 22-25. Syn.n.

Differential diagnosis. Males of Diapontia niveovittata resemble those of D. anfibia and D. uruguayensis by the embolus running almost perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the bulb in retrolateral view (Figs. 7A, 8A, 9A) and females by the broad and shallow atria (Figs.

7F, 8F, 9F); males can be differentiated by the hook-like lateral apophysis of the conductor (Figs. 5F, 9F) and females by the deep lateral incisions in the septum (Figs. 6B, 9G).

Synonymy. The holotype female of D. anfibia was compared with the type of Lycosa artigasi Casanueva, 1980 and show no significant morphological differences.

Description. Male from Concepción Province, Río Andalien (AMNH): Carapace brown with pale submarginal bands, radial pattern indistinct (Fig. 10F). Sternum, la-


Fig. 10. Habitus, $\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{F}$ dorsal; $\mathbf{G}-\mathbf{L}$ ventral. A,B,G,H: Diapontia uruguayensis Keyserling. A,G female; B,H male. C,D,I,J: Diapontia niveovittata Mello-Leitão. C,I female; $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{J}$ male. E,F,K,L: Diapontia anfibia (Zapfe-Mann) comb.n. E,K female; F,L male. - Scale bars: 2.00 mm .
bium and endites dark brown (Fig. 10L). Chelicerae light yellow-brown; covered with brown bristles. Abdomen dark brown with two parallel longitudinal thin white bands (Fig. 10F), venter dark brown with two central light yellow-brown bands (Fig. 10L). Legs brown with some paler areas.

Pedipalp as in Fig. 9A-E. Subtegulum small, located medially in the resting bulb. Tegulum large with a well-developed conductor, fused apically with the lateral apophysis (Fig. 9C, LAC), lateral apophysis of the conductor hook-like in apical view (Fig. 9E). Apophysis c triangular (Fig. 9B, c). Median apophysis laminar and longitudinally directed (Fig. 9B, MA); sperm duct with an S-shaped trajectory in retrolateral view (Fig. 9C, MA); embolic division without apophysis (Fig. 9E); embolus with pars pendula (Fig. 9B, Pp).

Leg formula 4123. Spination pattern: femora I p 0-0-$0-\mathrm{d} 2$ d 1-0-1-1 r 0-1-0-1, II p $0-1-0-1$ d 1-0-1-1 r $0-1-$ $0-1$, III p 0-1-0-1 d 1-0-1-1 r 0-1-0-1, IV p 0-1-0-1 d 1-0-1-1 r 0-0-0-1; patellae II p 1, III p $1 \mathbf{r} 1$, IV $\mathbf{p} 1 \mathbf{r} 1$; tibiae I p 0-d1 v 2-2-2ap, II p d1-d1 v 2-2-2ap r 0-d1, III p d1-d1 d 1-1 r 1-1 v p1-2-2ap, IV p d1-d1 d 1-1 r d1d1 vp1-2-2ap; metatarsi I p 1-1ap $\mathbf{v}$ 2-2-3ap, II p 1-1ap r 1-1ap $\mathbf{v}$ 2-2-3ap, III p 1-1ap r 1-1ap $\mathbf{v}$ 2-2-3ap, IV p 1-1ap r 1-1ap $\mathbf{v}$ 2-2-3ap.

Female (same data as male): Colour in ethanol as in male (Fig. 10E,K).

Epigyne as in Fig. 9G, atria in the shape of comma septum board with a deep middle incision and rectangular lateral projections; copulatory openings located on or at lateral margins of the septum. Vulva as in Fig. 9H, head of spermatheca (Fig. 9H, HS) similar in width to the short and straight stalk, vulval chambers rounded (Fig. 9H, VC).

Leg formula 4123. Spination pattern: femora Ip 0-0-$0-\mathrm{d} 2 \mathbf{d} 1-0-1-1$ r $0-1-0-1$, II p $0-1-0-1$ d $1-0-1-1$ r $0-0-$ $0-1$, III p 0-1-0-1 d 1-0-1-1 re-1-0-1, IV p 0-1-0-1 d

1-0-1-1 r 0-0-0-1; patellae II p 1, III p $1 \mathbf{r} 1$, IV $\mathbf{p} 1 \mathbf{r}$ 1; tibiae I v 2-2-2ap, II p d1-d1 v 2-2-2ap, III p d1-d1 d 1-1 r 1-1 vp1-p1-2ap, IV p d1-d1 d 1-1 r d1-d1 vp1-2-2ap; metatarsi I v 2-2-3ap, II p 1-1ap v 2-2-3ap, III p d1-1-1 ap $\mathbf{r}$ d1-1-1ap $\mathbf{v}$ 2-2-3ap, IV p 1-1-1 ap $\mathbf{r}$ 1-1-1 ap $\mathbf{v}$ 2-2-3ap.

Measurements: Male (female): TL 9.44 (10.37), PL 4.67 (4.67), PW 3.60 (3.67), PH 1.60 (1.73), AL 4.60 (5.33). Eyes: AME 0.22 (0.18), ALE 0.18 (0.17), PME 0.28 ( 0.25 ), PLE 0.32 ( 0.28 ). Row of eyes: AER 1.03 (1.12), PMER 0.93 (0.93), PLER 1.37 (1.33). Sternum (length/width) 2.27/1.93 (2.33/1.87). Labium (length/ width) $0.68 / 0.65$ ( $0.70 / 0.67$ ). Legs: length of segments $($ femur + patella/tibia + metatarsus + tarsus $=$ total length $)$ : I $3.47+4.33+2.60+1.47=11.87$, II $3.40+4.00+2.47$ $+1.47=11.34$, III $3.33+3.67+2.60+1.40=11.00$, IV $3.93+4.40+4.00+2.00=14.33($ I $3.13+4.07+2.27$ $+1.40=10.87$, II $3.27+3.80+2.33+1.40=10.80$, III $2.80+3.67+2.40+1.40=10.27$, IV $3.87+4.67+3.67$ $+1.93=14.14$ ).

Variation: Male (female) (range, mean $\pm$ s.d.): TL $6.65-9.44,7.74 \pm 0.92$; CL 2.93-4.73, 3.99 $\pm 0.58$; CW $2.00-3.80,3.00 \pm 0.53 ; \mathrm{n}=10$ (TL 9.31-13.30, 10.63 $\pm$ 1.36; CL 4.27-5.67, 4.90 $\pm 0.39$; CW 3.20-4.67, $3.79 \pm$ $0.41 ; \mathrm{n}=10$ ). The shape of the lateral projections of the epigynal septum has some variations (Fig. 9F).

Type material examined. HOLOTYPE of D. anfibia §'Pardosa anfibia Isla de Maipo 17-iv-1977' | 'M.N.H.N. Tipo No 3618' | 'HOLOTIPO', PARATYPES of D. anfibia 50 and 6 q in 11 vials each vial with a label 'Isla de Maipo 17-iv-1977', males with a label 'Pardosa anfibia ${ }^{\top}$ Zapfe 1979', females with a label 'Pardosa anfibia $q$ Zapfe 1979' and each vial with a label 'M.N.H.N. Tipo $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{o}}$, with numbers from 3619 to 3624 with one female and 3625 to 3628 with one male each. HOLOTYPE of L. artigasi o 'CHILE, Región de la Araucanía, Provincia de Malleco, Parque Nacional Tolhuaca, Laguna Malleco’ (MHNC).

Other material examined. See Electronic Supplement File 1.


Fig. 11. Live specimens. A: Diapontia niveovittata Mello-Leitão from Corrientes, Argentina, hunting at night, on a web over the vegetation. B-D: Diapontia uruguayensis Keyserling: B immature from P.N. Pre-Delta, Entre Ríos, Argentina on a web on the grass; C immature from P.N. El Palmar, Entre Ríos, Argentina on a web on the mud; D male and female during copula.


Fig. 12. Live specimens. A: female of Diapontia anfibia (Zapfe-Mann) comb.n. (MACN-Ar 34761). B-C: female of Diapontia niveovittata Mello-Leitão with eggsac (MACN-Ar 32213).

Distribution. Chile and southwestern Argentina (Fig. 20A).

Natural history. Adults and immatures of this species live on the shoreline vegetation of lakes and rivers where they spin a small retreat; some specimens were collected
under rocks. Adult males and females were collected throughout the year; there are only two records of eggsacs, in July and November.


Fig. 13. Diapontia securifera (Tullgren) comb.n., genitalia. A-C: Male from Parinacota, Chile (AMNH), palp: A prolateral; B ventral C retrolateral. D,E: Female from Parinacota, Chile (AMNH): D epigyne ventral; E vulva. - Abbreviations: A, atrium; BS, base of the spermatheca; C, conductor; c, ventral projection of tegulum; E, embolus; FD, fertilization ducts; HS, head of spermatheca; LAC, lateral apophysis of conductor; MA, median apophysis; S, septum; SD, sperm duct; SS, stalk of spermatheca; VC, vulval chamber. -Scale bars: 0.20 mm .

### 3.3.5. Diapontia securifera (Tullgren, 1905), comb.n.

Figs. 5G,H, 6C, 13, 16A,B,G,H, 20B

Lycosa securifera Tullgren, 1905: 66, pl. 8, f. 32 (Dj).
Isohogna securifera: Roewer 1955: 262.
Porrimosa securifera: Capocasale 1982: 154.
Orinocosa securifera: Santos \& Brescovit 2001: 81.
Remarks. Chamberlin (1916) cited two females from Peru, Cuzco, but upon re-examination, those specimens belong to $D$. chamberlini, a new species described below.

Differential diagnosis. Males of Diapontia securifera resemble those of $D$. calama and $D$. songotal by the enlarged and digitiform apophysis c (Figs. 13B, 14B, 15B) and females by the deep atria (Figs. 13D, 14D, 15D); males can be differentiated by the apical part of the conductor, with a straight end (Fig. 13C, C). Females are very hard to distinguish, but can be separated by the
rectangular shape of the posterior part of the septum and by the borders of the median part of the septum having only the borders sclerotized (Fig. 13D) instead of all the anterior part of the septum as in on D. calama (Fig. 14D).

Description. Male from Chile, Concepción, Río Anadalién (AMNH): Carapace brown with pale submarginal bands (Fig. 16B). Sternum, labium and endites dark brown (Fig. 16H). Chelicerae dark brown. Dorsum of abdomen brown with two broad parallel longitudinal white bands (Fig. 16B), venter brown with two central paler bands (Fig. 16H). Legs setose, light yellow-brown with darker areas.

Pedipalp as in Fig. 13A-C. Subtegulum small, located medially on the resting bulb. Tegulum large with a well-developed conductor, fused apically with the lateral apophysis (Fig. 13C). Apophysis c finger-like (Fig. 13B, c). Median apophysis laminar and obliquely oriented (Fig. 13B, MA); sperm duct with an S-shaped trajectory
in retroventral view (Fig. 13B, SD); embolic division without apophysis; embolus with pars pendula.

Leg formula 4123. Spination pattern: femora I p 0-0-$0-\mathrm{d} 2 \mathrm{~d} 1-1-1 \mathbf{r} 0-1-0-1$, II p $0-1-0-1 \mathbf{d} 1-1-1 \mathbf{r} 0-1-0-1$, III p 0-1-0-1 d 1-1-1 r 0-1-0-1, IV p 0-1-0-1 d 1-0-1-1 r 0-0-0-1; patellae II r 1, III p $1 \mathbf{r} 1$, IV p $1 \mathbf{r} 1$; tibiae I p d1-d1 r d1-d1 v 2-2-2ap, II p d1-d1 r d1-d1 v 2-2-2ap, III p d1-d1 d 1-1 r d1-d1 v 2-2-2ap, IV p d1-d1 d 1-1 r d1-d1 v 2-2-2ap; metatarsi I p 1-1ap r 1-1ap v 2-2-3ap, II p 1-1-1 ap r 1-1-1 ap $\mathbf{v}$ 2-2-3ap, III p 1-1-1ap $\mathbf{r}$ 1-1-1 ap v 2-2-3ap, IV p 1-1-1 ap r 1-1-1 ap v 2-2-3ap.

Female from Chile, Concepción, Río Anadalién (AMNH): Colour in ethanol as in male but dark, submarginal bands of the carapace and parallel bands of abdomen indistinct (Fig. 16A,G).

Epigyne as in Fig. 13D with two deep atria divided by the anterior part of the septum, posterior part of the septum broad and square (Fig. 13D, S). Vulva as in Fig. 13E, base of the spermatheca enlarged centrally (Fig. 13E, BS), head of spermathecae (Fig. 13E, HS) of variable shape and short stalk, vulval chambers rounded (Fig. 13E, VC).

Leg formula 4123. Spination pattern: femora Ip 0-0d2 d 1-1-1, II p 0-1-0-1 d 1-1-1 r 0-1-0-1, III p 0-1-0-1 d 1-1-1 r 0-1-0-1, IV p 0-1-0-1 d 1-0-1-1 r 0-0-0-1; patellae II r 1, III p $1 \mathbf{r} 1$, IV p $1 \mathbf{r} 1$; tibiae I vpl-0-2ap, II v 2-2ap, III p d1-d1 d 0-1 r d1-d1 v p1-p1-2ap, IV p d1-d1 d 0-1 r d1-d1 vp1-2-2ap; metatarsi I v 2-2-3ap, II p 1-1ap r 0-1ap v 2-2-3ap, III p 1-1-1ap r 1-1-1 ap v 2-23ap, IV p 1-1-1 ap r 1-1-1ap v 2-2-3ap.

Measurements: Female, AMNH (male, AMNH): TL 7.45 (8.65), PL 3.80 (4.47), PW 2.80 (3.20), PH 1.33 (1.40), AL 3.40 (3.87). Eyes: AME 0.13 (0.18), ALE 0.12 (0.15), PME 0.25 (0.32), PLE 0.23 (0.20). Row of eyes: AER 0.83 (0.90), PMER 0.75 (0.83), PLER 1.12 (1.25). Sternum (length/width) 1.80/1.53 (2.00/1.80). Labium (length/width) $0.55 / 0.60$ ( $0.58 / 0.67$ ). Legs: length of segments (femur + patella/tibia + metatarsus + tarsus $=$ total length): I $2.40+3.00+1.80+1.20=8.40$, $\mathbf{\text { II }} 2.33$ $+2.87+1.73+1.20=8.13$, III $2.27+2.40+1.73+1.20$ $=7.60, \quad$ IV $2.80+3.40+2.67+1.60=10.47 \quad$ (I $3.33+$ $3.93+2.67+1.67=11.6$, II $3.13+3.73+2.53+1.67=$ 11.06 , III $2.93+3.40+2.53+1.53=10.39$, IV $4.27+$ $4.40+3.40+2.00=14.07$ ).

Variation: Female (male) (range, mean $\pm$ s.d.): TL $7.45-$ $12.90,10.71 \pm 2.18$; CL 3.80-6.33, $5.20 \pm 1.00$; CW $2.80-$ $4.67,3.89 \pm 0.77 ; \mathrm{n}=6$ (TL $8.65-10.37,9.51 \pm 1.22$; CL $3.33-4.47,3.90 \pm 0.81 ; C W 2.53-3.20,2.86 \pm 0.47 ; n=2$ ). Some females have 0-r1-2ap on the ventral tibia I (MACN-Ar 23954).

Type material. HOLOTYPE of D. securifera ${ }^{\circ}$ 'ARGENTINA, Moreno puna de Jujuy' (NHAM).
Other material examined. See Electronic Supplement File 1.
Distribution. Northern Chile (Parinacota) and northwestern Argentina (Jujuy) (Fig. 20B).

Natural history. Some specimens were collected under rocks. A female with spiderlings were collected in January at Salar de Jama (at 4200 m a.s.l).

### 3.3.6. Diapontia calama sp.n.

Figs. 14, 16C,D,I,J, 20B
Differential diagnosis. Males of Diapontia calama resemble those of $D$. songotal and $D$. securifera by the enlarged and digitiform apophysis c (Figs. 13B, 14B, 15B) and females by the deep atria (Fig. 13D, 14D, 15D); males can be differentiated by the gently curved apical part of the conductor (Fig. 14C, C) and females by having a setose triangular area on the posterior part of the epigynal septum (Fig. 14D, S).

Description. Male (holotype): Carapace brown, pale submarginal bands almost indistinct (Fig. 16D). Sternum, labium and endites dark brown (Fig. 16J). Chelicerae dark brown. Dorsum of abdomen brown with two parallel longitudinal pale bands (Fig. 16D), venter light yellow-brown (Fig. 16J). Legs with femur and patella light yellow-brown, tibia, metatarsus and tarsus brown.

Pedipalp as in Fig. 14A-C. Subtegulum small, located medially in the resting bulb. Tegulum large with a well-developed conductor, fused apically with the lateral apophysis (Fig. 14C). Apophysis c finger-like (Fig. 14B, c). Median apophysis laminar and obliquely oriented (Fig. 14B, MA); sperm duct with an S-shape starting in the retroapical part of the tegulum and ending ventrally (Fig. 14B, SD); embolic division without apophysis; embolus with pars pendula.

Leg formula 412 (Leg III missing). Spination pattern: femora I p 0-0-0-d2 d 1-1-1 r 0-1-0-1, II p 0-1-0-1 d 1-1-1 $\mathbf{r} 0-1-0-1$, IV p 0-1-0-1 d 1-0-1-1 r $0-0-0-1$; patellae Ip $1 \mathbf{r} 1$, II p $1 \mathbf{r} 1$, III - IV p $1 \mathbf{r} 1$; tibiae Ip $\mathrm{d} 1-\mathrm{d} 1 \mathbf{v}$ 2-2-2ap $\mathbf{r}$ d1-d1, II p d1-d1 v 2-2-2ap, IV p d1-d1 d 1-1 r d1-d1 v 2-2-2ap; metatarsi I p 1-1ap v 2-2-3ap r 1-1ap, II p 1-1-1 ap v 2-2-3ap $\mathbf{r}$ 1-1-1 ap, IV p 1-1-1 ap $\mathbf{r}$ 1-1-1 ap v 2-2-3ap.

Female from Chile, Calama (MNHN 903): Colour in ethanol as in male, but less contrasting (Fig. 16C,I).

Epigyne as in Fig. 14B with the atria deep and separated by a narrow septum with a sclerotised border, posterior part of the septum enlarged, triangular in shape (Fig. 14D, S). Vulva as in Fig. 14E, head of spermathecae round (Fig. 14E, HS), sligtly wider than the short stalk, vulval chambers round (Fig. 14E, VC).

Leg formula 4132. Spination pattern: femora I p 0-0d2 d 1-1-1 r 0-1-0-1, II p 0-1-0-1 d 1-1-1 re-1-0-1, III p 0-1-0-1 d 1-1-1 r 0-1-0-1, IV p 0-1-0-1 d 1-0-1-1 $\mathbf{r}$ $0-0-0-1$; patellae III r 1, IV p $1 \mathbf{r} 1$; tibiae I v 2-2ap $\mathbf{r}$ d1-d1, II p d1-d1 v 2-2ap, III p d1-d1 d 1-1 r d1-d1 v p1-2-2ap, IV p d1-d1 d 1-1 r d1-d1 vp1-2-2ap; metatarsi If $\mathbf{p}$ v 2-2-3ap II p 1-0 v 2-2-3ap $\mathbf{r} 1-0$, III p 1-1-1ap $\mathbf{r}$ 1-1-1ap $\mathbf{v}$ 2-2-3ap, IV p 1-1-1ap r 1-1-1ap $\mathbf{v}$ 2-2-3ap. Ventral spines of tibia I and II small.

Measurements: Female. MNHN 903 (male, holotype): TL 13.03 (11.04), PL 7.32 (5.67), PW 4.07 (4.07), PH 2.00 (1.87), AL 7.85 (4.67). Eyes: AME 0.20 (0.18), ALE 0.23 (0.20), PME 0.33 (0.32), PLE 0.35 (0.33). Row of eyes: AER 1.18 (1.08), PMER 1.07 (0.97), PLER 1.63 (1.47). Sternum (length/width) 2.47/2.20 (2.47/2.07). La-


Fig. 14. Diapontia calama sp.n., genitalia. A-C: Male from Calama, Chile (holotype), palp: A prolateral; B ventral; C retrolateral. D-E: Female from Calama, Chile (MHN 903): D epigyne ventral; E vulva. - Abbreviations: A, atrium; BS, base of the spermatheca; C, conductor; c, ventral projection of tegulum; E, embolus; FD, fertilization ducts; HS, head of spermatheca; LAC, lateral apophysis of conductor; MA, median apophysis; S, septum; SD, sperm duct; SS, stalk of spermatheca; VC, vulval chamber. - Scale bars: 0.20 mm .
bium (length/width) 0.92/0.90 (0.75/0.77). Legs: length of segments (femur + patella/tibia + metatarsus + tarsus $=$ total length): $\mathbf{I} 3.47+4.33+2.67+1.73=12.2, \mathbf{I I} 3.33+$ $4.33+2.47+1.73=11.86$, III $3.33+3.93+2.73+1.73$ $=11.72$, IV $4.07+5.13+4.13+2.13=15.46(\mathbf{I} 3.87+$ $5.47+3.60+2.13=15.07, \mathbf{I I} 3.73+5.27+3.67+2.00=$ 14.67, IV $4.67+5.60+5.33+2.33=17.93$ ).

Variation: Female (male) (range, mean $\pm$ s.d.): TL $9.31-14.7612 .37 \pm 1.42 ;$ CL $5.07-7.71,6.33 \pm 0.73 ;$ CW $3.93-5.53,4.73 \pm 0.45 ; \mathrm{n}=10$ (TL 11.04-11.44, 11.24 $\pm$ 0.28; CL 5.67-6.33, 6.00 $\pm 0.47$; CW 4.047-4.06, $4.33 \pm$ $0.37 ; n=2$ ).

Etymology. The specific epithet is a noun in apposition referring to the type locality.

Type material. HOLOTYPE $\widehat{\jmath}$ and $\widehat{\jmath}$ PARATYPE 'Calama, i.1983, Arriaga coll' | 'Diapontia sp. Éder Álvares det.' | 'MNHN 680'. PARATYPE 10 $q$ two eggsacs, 'La Cascada, 10.i.1984, Arriaga coll' | 'MNHN 904'.
Other material examined. See Electronic Supplement File 1.

Distribution. Northern of Chile (Calama Province) and an uncertain locality in Bolivia ("El Cumbre") (Fig. 20B).

### 3.3.7. Diapontia songotal sp.n.

Figs. 15, 16E,F,K,L, 20B
Differential diagnosis. Males of $D$. songotal resemble those of $D$. calama and $D$. securifera by the enlarged and digitiform apophysis c (Figs. 13B, 14B, 15B) and females by the deep atria (Figs. 13D, 14D, 15D); males can be differentiated by the curved apical part of the conductor (Fig. 15C, C). Females can be distinguished by the lateral lobes reach the median part of septum (Fig. 15D, LL).

Description. Male (holotype): Carapace reddish-brown with pale submarginal bands (Fig. 16F). Sternum, labium and endites dark brown (Fig. 16L). Chelicerae dark brown. Dorsum of abdomen brown with two parallel longitudinal pale bands lined by dark brown (Fig. 16F),


Fig. 15. Diapontia songotal sp.n., genitalia. A-C: Male holotype, palp: A prolateral; B ventral; C retrolateral. D: Epigyne ventral (female paratype). E vulva (female paratype). F: Epigyne ventral (female from Chacaltaya). G: Epigyne ventral (female from Songotal). H: Epigyne ventral (female from Chacaltaya). I: Epigyne ventral (female from Cuticucho). - Abbreviations: A, atrium; BS, base of the spermatheca; C, conductor; c, ventral projection of tegulum; E, embolus; FD, fertilization ducts; HS, head of spermatheca; LAC, lateral apophysis of conductor; LL, lateral lobes of epigyne; MA, median apophysis; S, septum; SD, sperm duct; SS, stalk of spermatheca; VC, vulval chamber. - Scale bars: 0.20 mm .
venter light yellow-brown (Fig. 16L). Legs light yellowbrown with dark areas.

Pedipalp as in Fig. 15A-C. Subtegulum small, located mesally in the resting bulb (Fig. 15B). Tegulum large, with a well-developed conductor, fused apically with the lateral apophysis of the conductor (Fig. 15B). Apophysis c strongly developed, finger-like (Fig. 15B). Median apophysis laminar and obliquely oriented (Fig. 15B); sperm duct with a S-shape curve starting on the retroapical part of the tegulum and ending ventrally (Fig. 15B,C, SD); embolic division without apophysis; embolus with a pars pendula well-developed (Fig. 15B).

Leg formula 4132. Spination pattern: femora I p 0-0-d2 d 1-1-1 r 0-1-1, II p 0-0-1 d 1-1-0 r 0-2-1, III p d1-d1 d 1-1-1 r d1-d1, IV p d1-d1 d 1-1-1 r 0-d1; patellae II p $1 \mathbf{r}$ 1, III p $1 \mathbf{r} 1$, IV $\mathbf{p} 1 \mathbf{r} 1$; tibiae Ip 1-1 $\mathbf{v}$ 2-2-2ap $\mathbf{r} 1-1$, II $\mathbf{p}$ d1-d1 v 2-2-2ap, III p 1-1 d 1-1-1 r 1-1 v 2-2-2ap, IV p 1-1 d 1-1-0 $\mathbf{r}$ 1-1 $\mathbf{v}$ p1-2-2ap; metatarsi I p 0-1-1 ap $\mathbf{r}$ 0-1-1 ap $\mathbf{v}$ 2-2-1ap, II p 0-1-2ap r 0-1-2ap $\mathbf{v}$ 2-2-1ap, III p 1-1-2ap $\mathbf{r}$ 1-1-2ap $\mathbf{v}$ 2-2-1ap, IV p 1-1-2ap $\mathbf{r} 1-1-2 \mathrm{ap} \mathbf{v} 2-2-1 \mathrm{ap}$.

Female (paratype): Colour in ethanol as in male but with less contrasting, the submarginal bands of the carapace lost most of the pale setae and the abdomen lacks the dorsal bands (Fig. 16E,K).


Fig. 16. Habitus, $\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{F}$ dorsal, $\mathbf{G}-\mathbf{L}$ ventral. A,B,G,H: Diapontia securifera (Tullgren) comb.n.: A,G female; B,H male. C,D,I,J: Diapontia calama sp.n.: C,I female; D,J male. E,F,K,L: Diapontia songotal sp.n.: E,K female; F,L male. - Scale bars: A-D,G-H 2.00 mm ; E-F,K-L 5.00 mm .

Epigyne as in Fig. 15D, septum with an anchor shape (Fig. 15D), anterior part of septum limited by two deep atria, lateral lobes reach the septum in the middle part (Fig. 15D, LL). Vulva as in Fig. 15E with the base of the spermatheca broader centrally, head of spermathecae (Fig. 15E, HS) slightly larger than the short stalk, vulval chambers rounded (Fig. 15E, VC).

Leg formula 4132. Spination pattern: femora I p 0-0d2 d 0-1-1, II p d1-d1 d 1-1-1 $\mathbf{r}$ d1-d1, III $\mathbf{p}$ d1-d1 d 1-1-1 r d1-d1, IV p 0-d1 d 1-1-1 r 0-d1; patellae III p 1 r 1 , IV p $1 \mathbf{r} 1$; tibiae Iv 2-2-2ap, II p $0-\mathrm{d} 1 \mathbf{v} 2 \mathrm{ap}$, III $\mathbf{p}$ d1-d1 r d1-d1 v p1-p1-2ap, IV p d1-d1 d 0-1 r d1-d1 v p1-2-2ap; metatarsi I p 0-0-1ap r 0-0-1ap v 2-2-1ap, II p 0-1-1 ap $\mathbf{r}$ 0-1-2ap $\mathbf{v}$ 2-2-1 ap, III p 1-1-2ap $\mathbf{r}$ 1-1-2ap $\mathbf{v}$ 2-2-1ap, IV p 1-1-2ap r 1-1-2ap v 2-2-1ap.

Measurements: Female paratype (male holotype): TL 12.5 (12.64), PL 4.93 (6.67), PW 4.27 (5.40), PH 1.73 (1.87), AL 6.67 (6.47). Eyes: AME 0.17 (0.22), ALE 0.17 (0.20), PME 0.32 ( 0.43 ), PLE 0.25 (0.35). Row of eyes: AER 1.17 (1.35), PMER 0.97 (1.20), PLER 1.52 (1.80). Sternum (length/width) 2.80/2.07 (3.47/2.47). Labium (length/width) 1.00/0.90 (1.28/1.07). Legs: length of segments (femur + patella/tibia + metatarsus + tarsus $=$ total length): $\mathbf{I} 4.12+5.05+2.93+2.00=14.10$, II $3.99+$ $4.66+2.79+1.73=13.17$, III $3.99+4.52+3.33+1.86=$ 13.70, IV $4.66+5.85+4.52+2.66=17.69(\mathbf{I} 5.59+7.85+$ $5.32+2.66=21.42$, II $5.45+6.52+4.92+2.66=19.55$, III $5.45+6.52+5.32+2.53=19.82$, IV $6.52+8.38+$ $7.05+3.46=25.41$ ).

Variation: Female (male) (range, mean $\pm$ s.d.): TL $11.04-18.36,12.90 \pm 0.1 .96$; CL 3.33-6.67, 5.51 $\pm 0.89$; CW 4.27-5.60, $4.85 \pm 0.68 ; \mathrm{n}=10$ (TL 9.58-12.64, $11.11 \pm 2.16$; CL $4.67-6.67,5.67 \pm 1.41$; CW 3.67-5.40, $4.53 \pm 1.22 ; \mathrm{n}=3$ ). Variations on the shape of the median septum are illustrated in Fig. 15F-I.

Etymology. The specific epithet is a noun in apposition referring to the type locality.

Type material. HOLOTYPE $\delta$ and $\delta$ o PARATYPE 'AMNH Bolivia: Chacaltaya, alt. $4700 \mathrm{~m}, 24-25 . i v .1954$, in a small field under rock, Forster \& Schindler.' $\&$ PARATYPE 'AMNH Bolivia, Songotal, 1.xii.1953, Forster \& Schindler, under rock'
Other material examined. See Electronic Supplement File 1.

Distribution. West central Bolivia (La Paz province) (Fig. 20B).

Natural history. Adult males were recorded in January, March and December, and adult females from November to March; there are only two records of eggsacs from February and November. Some specimens were collected under stones (data from label).

### 3.3.8. Diapontia arapensis (Strand, 1908) comb.n.

Tarentula arapensis Strand 1908: 245.
Lycosa arapensis: Petrunkevitch 1911: 555; Bonnet 1957: 2633.
Mimohogna arapensis: Roewer 1955: 279.
Lycosa arapensis: Fuhn \& Niculescu-Burlacu 1971: 193.
Hippasella arapensis: Brescovit \& Álvares 2011: 57.
Remark. The S-shaped trajectory of the sperm duct (Álvares \& Brescovit 2007: figs. 17, 18) on the male bulb and the short stalk of the spermatheca (Álvares \& Brescovit 2007: fig. 21) of females justifies the transfer of the specimen from Hippasella to Diapontia.

Description. See Brescovit \& Álvares (2011).


Fig. 17. Diapontia chamberlini sp.n., genitalia. A-E: Male from Andahuaylas, (holotype), bulb: A prolateral; $\mathbf{B}$ ventral; $\mathbf{C}$ retrolateral; D dorsal; E apical. F,G: Female from Andahuaylas, Perú (paratype): F epigyne ventral; G vulva. - Abbreviations: A, atrium; BS, base of the spermatheca; C, conductor; c, ventral projection of tegulum; E, embolus; FD, fertilization ducts; HS, head of spermatheca; LAC, lateral apophysis of conductor; LL, lateral lobes of epigyne; MA, median apophysis; S, septum; SD, sperm duct; VC, vulval chamber. - Scale bars: 0.20 mm .

Differential diagnosis. Males of Diapontia arapensis resemble those of $D$. chamberlini and $D$. oxapampa by the thin embolus and poorly developed apophysis c (Brescovit \& Álvares 2011: fig. 17) and females by the broad and setose septum (Brescovit \& Álvares 2011: fig. 19); males can be differentiated by the strong develop of the LAC and C (Brescovit \& Álvares 2011: fig. 17); females by the long anchor-shaped of the septum (Brescovit \& Álvares 2011: fig. 19).

Material examined. See Electronic Supplement File 1.

### 3.3.9. Diapontia chamberlini sp.n.

Figs. 17, 19A,B,E,F, 20C
Lycosa securifera: Chamberlin 1916: 282. Misidentification.
Differential diagnosis. Males of $D$. chamberlini resemble those of $D$. arapensis and $D$. oxapampa by the thin embolus and poor developed apophysis c (Brescovit \& Álvares 2011: fig. 17) and females by the broad and setose septum (Brescovit \& Álvares 2011: fig. 19); by the elongated apophysis c (Fig. 17B); females have a broad
septum with a sclerotised border in the posterior part of the epigyne (Fig. 17F).

Description. Male (holotype): Carapace brown with pale submarginal bands (Fig. 19A). Sternum, labium and endites brown (Fig. 19E). Chelicerae brown. Dorsum of abdomen brown with two parallel longitudinal pale bands (Fig. 19A), venter light yellow-brown (Fig. 19E). Legs light yellow-brown with dark areas.

Pedipalp as in Fig. 17A-E. Subtegulum small, located mesally in the resting bulb (Fig. 17B). Tegulum large with a small conductor, fused with the lateral apophysis (Fig. 17C, LAC), apophysis c enlarged (Fig. 17B, c). Median apophysis laminar and longitudinally directed (Fig. 17B, MA); sperm duct with an S-shaped trajectory in retroventral view (Fig. 17B, SD); embolic division without apophysis (Fig. 17E); embolus with a pars pendula welldeveloped (Fig. 17E).

Leg formula 4123. Spination pattern: femora I p 0-0d2 d 1-1, r d1-d1, II p d1-d1 d 1-1-1 r d1-d1, III p d1-d1 d 1-1-1 r d1-d1, IV p 1-d1 d 1-1-1 r 0-d1; patellae II p $1 \mathbf{r} 1$, III $\mathbf{p} 1 \mathbf{r} 1$, IV $\mathbf{p} 1 \mathbf{r} 1$; tibiae I p 1-1 $\mathbf{v} 2-2-2 \mathrm{ap} \mathbf{r}$ 1-1, II p 1-d1 vpl-2-2ap r 1-1, III p d1-d1 d $1 \mathbf{r d 1}$-d1 $\mathbf{v}$ 2-2-2ap, IV p d1-d1 d 1-1 r d1-d1 v 2-2-2ap; metatarsi I p 1-1ap r 1-1 ap v 2-2-1ap, II p 0-1-2ap r 0-1-2ap $\mathbf{v}$ 2-21ap, III p 1-1-2ap $\mathbf{r} 1-1-2 \mathrm{ap} \mathbf{v} 2-2-1 \mathrm{ap}$, IV $\mathbf{p}$ 1-1-2ap $\mathbf{r}$ 1-1-2ap $\mathbf{v} 2-2-1$ ap.

Female (paratype): Colour in ethanol as in male but less contrasting, the submarginal bands of the carapace very indistinct, and the abdomen lacks the dorsal pale bands (Fig. 19B,F).

Epigyne as in Fig. 17F, septum broad and setose, lateral lobes slightly sclerotised (Fig. 17F, LL). Vulva (Fig. $17 \mathrm{G})$, stalk of the spermatheca short, head of spermathecae round (Fig. 17G, HS), vulval chamber rounded (Fig. 17G, VC).

Leg formula 4123. Spination pattern: femora I p 0-0d2 d 1-1, II p d1-d1 d 1-1-1 r 0-d1, III p d1-d1 d 1-1-1 $\mathbf{r}$ d1-d1, IV p d1-d1 d 1-1-1 r 0-d1; patellae III p 1 r 1, IV p $1 \mathbf{r} 1$; tibiae If $1-\mathrm{d} 1 \mathbf{v} 2-0-2 \mathrm{ap}$, II $\mathbf{p}$ d1-1 v r1-0-2ap, III p d1-d1 d $1 \mathbf{r}$ d1-d1 vp1-p1-2ap, IV p d1-d1 d 0-1 r d1-d1 v p1-2-2ap; metatarsi I p 0-1ap r 0-1ap v 2-2-1ap, II p 0-1ap $\mathbf{r} 0$-1ap v 2-2-1ap, III p 1-1-2ap $\mathbf{r}$ 1-1-2ap $\mathbf{v}$ 2-2-1ap, IV p 1-1-2ap r 1-1-2ap v 2-2-1ap.

Measurements: Female paratype (male holotype): TL 14.23 (7.58), PL 6.00 (3.80), PW 4.73 (3.00), PH 2.27 (1.40), AL 7.98 (3.53). Eyes: AME 0.18 (0.13), ALE 0.18 (0.13), PME 0.35 ( 0.25 ), PLE 0.33 ( 0.23 ). Row of eyes: AER 1.32 (0.87), PMER 1.08 (0.80), PLER 1.67 (1.15). Sternum (length/width) 2.87/2.33 (2.00/1.67). Labium (length/width) 1.00/0.97 (0.58/0.60). Legs: length of segments (femur + patella/tibia + metatarsus + tarsus $=$ total length): $\mathbf{I} 4.33+4.73+3.07+2.00=14.13$, $\mathbf{I I} 4.13+$ $4.73+2.93+1.67=13.46$, III $4.00+4.40+2.87+1.67=$ 12.94 , IV $4.87+5.67+4.40+2.33=17.27(\mathbf{I} 3.00+3.93+$ $2.67+1.67=11.27$, II $3.00+3.67+2.53+1.60=10.80$, III $2.73+3.20+2.53+1.60=10.06$, IV $3.53+4.27+3.87+$ $1.87=13.54$ ).

Variation: Female (male) (range, mean $\pm$ s.d.): TL 9.58-14.23, 11.62 $\pm 1.68$; CL 4.67-6.00, $5.35 \pm 0.45$;

CW 3.07-4.87, $4.22 \pm 0.57 ; \mathrm{n}=8$ (TL 7.58-10.91, $9.27 \pm$ 1.66; CL 3.80-5.00, 4.60 $\pm 0.69$; CW 3.00-4.33, 3.78土 $0.69 ; n=3$ ).

Etymology. The specific name is a patronym in honor of the late Dr. R.V. Chamberlin, in recognition of his pioneering work on lycosid genitalia and taxonomy.

Type material. HOLOTYPE $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { ' } 43 \mathrm{~km} \text {. N. Andahuaylas PERU }\end{gathered}$ III-7-51 3500 m Ross \& Michelbacher'| 'CASENT 9047116' and 2 đ 5 q 3 immatures PARATYPES ' 43 km . N. Andahuaylas PERU III-7-51 3500 m , Ross \& Michelbacher' | 'CASENT 9039903'

Other material examined. See Electronic Supplement File 1.
Distribution. Central and southern Peru (Fig. 20C).
Natural history. The only data available are from the records of Chamberlin (1911) who noted that the specimens were collected under rocks. Adult males were recorded in March and October; females in March to May, in July and October; and there is only one record of a female with eggsac in July.

### 3.3.10. Diapontia oxapampa sp.n.

Figs. 6D,H, 18, 19C,D,G,H, 20C
Differential diagnosis. Males of Diapontia oxapampa resemble those of $D$. arapensis and $D$. chamberlini by the thin embolus and poorly developed apophysis c (Fig. 18B) and females by the broad and setose septum (Fig. 18D); differentiated by the triangular shape of apophysis c (Fig. 18B, c); females by the triangular shape of the posterior part of the septum (Figs. 6H, 18D).

Description. Male (holotype): Carapace brown with pale submarginal bands (Fig. 19C). Sternum, labium and endites brown (Fig. 19G). Chelicerae brown. Dorsum of abdomen brown with two parallel longitudinal pale bands (Fig. 19C), venter light yellow-brown (Fig. 19G). Legs light yellow-brown with dark areas.

Pedipalp as in Fig. 18A-C. Subtegulum small, located mesally, slightly displaced prolaterally on the resting bulb (Fig. 18B). Tegulum large with a small conductor, fused with the lateral apophysis (Fig. 18C, LAC). Apophysis c triangular (Fig. 18B, c). Median apophysis laminar and obliquely directed (Fig. 18B, MA); sperm duct with a S-shape starting on the retroapical part of the tegulum and finishing ventrally (Fig. 18B,C, SD); embolic division without apophysis.

Leg formula 4132. Spination pattern: femuora I p $0-\mathrm{d} 2 \mathrm{~d} 1-1-1 \mathbf{r} 1-1$, II p d1-d1 d 1-1-0 r d1-d1, III p d1d1 d 1-1-1 r d1-d1, IV p d1-d1 d 1-1-1 r 0-d1; patellae If $\mathbf{p}$, II p 1 , III p $1 \mathbf{r} 1$, IV $\mathbf{p} 1 \mathbf{r} 1$; tibiae I $p$ d1-d $\mathbf{v}$ 2-2-2ap $\mathbf{r}$ d1-d1, II p d1-d1 v 2-2-2ap $\mathbf{r}$ d1-d1, III p 1-1 d 1-1 r 1-1 v r1-2-2ap, IV p 1-1 d 1-1 r 1-1 v 2-2-2ap; metatarsi I p 0-1-1 ap r 0-1-1ap v 2-2-1ap, II p 0-1-2ap $\mathbf{r}$ 0-1-2ap v 2-2-1ap, III p 1-1-2ap r 1-1-2ap v 2-2-1ap, IV p 1-1-2ap $\mathbf{r}$ 1-1-2ap $\mathbf{v}$ 2-2-1 ap.


Fig. 18. Diapontia oxapampa sp.n., genitalia. A-C: Male from Oxapampa, Perú (holotype), bulb: A prolateral; B ventral; C retrolateral. D,E: Female from Oxapampa, Perú (paratype). D epigyne ventral. E vulva. - Abbreviations: BS, base of the spermatheca; c, ventral projection of tegulum; E, embolus; FD, fertilization ducts; HS, head of spermatheca; LAC, lateral apophysis of conductor; MA, median apophysis; S, septum; SD, sperm duct; SS, stalk of spermatheca; VC, vulval chamber. - Scale bars: 0.20 mm .

Female (paratype): Colour in ethanol as in male, except for the abdomen, which is dorsally brown, without the clear bands (Fig. 19D,H).

Epigyne as in Fig. 18D, with the septum enlarged posteriorly, triangular in shape (Fig. 18D, S). Vulva as in Fig. 18E, head of spermathecae similar in width to the short stalk, vulval chamber round (Fig. 18E, VC).

Leg formula 4123. Spination pattern: femora I p 0-d2 d 1-1-1, II p d1-d1 d 1-1-1 r d1-d1, III p d1-d1 d 1-1-1 r d1-d1, IV p d1-d1 d 1-1-1 r 0-d1; patellae II p 1, III p1r 1, IV p $1 \mathbf{r} 1$; tibiae I v 2-2-2ap, II p d1-d1 v 0-r12ap, III p d1-d1 d 0-1 r d1-d1 v p1-2-2ap, IV p 1-1 d $0-1$ r 1-1 v p1-2-2ap; metatarsi I p 0-0-1ap r 0-0-1ap $\mathbf{v}$ 2-2-1ap, II p 0-1-1 ap $\mathbf{r} 0-1-1$ ap $\mathbf{v} 2-2-1$ ap, III p 1-1-2ap r 1-1-2ap v 2-2-1ap, IV p 1-1-2ap r 1-1-2ap v 2-2-1ap.

Measurements: Female paratype (male holotype): TL 8.25 (7.45), PL 3.80 (3.67), PW 3.07 (3.00), PH 1.47 (1.73), AL 4.00 (4.07). Eyes: AME 0.15 (0.10), ALE 0.17 (0.13), PME 0.28 ( 0.25 ), PLE 0.23 (0.27). Row of eyes: AER 0.95 (0.82), PMER 0.87 (0.77), PLER 1.25 (1.17). Sternum (length/width) 2.00/1.60 (2.00/1.47). Labium
(length/width) 0.67/0.70 (0.58/0.67) Legs: length of segments (femur + patella/tibia + metatarsus + tarsus $=$ total length): $\mathbf{I} 2.80+3.47+2.00+1.20=9.47$, $\mathbf{I I} 2.67+3.33$ $+2.00+1.20=9.20$, III $2.33+3.07+2.07+1.20=8.67$, IV $3.33+4.47+3.27+1.40=12.47(\mathbf{I} 2.93+3.80+2.47$ $+1.40=10.60$, II $2.80+3.47+2.47+1.33=10.07$, III $2.67+3.00+2.47+2.40=10.54$, IV $3.47+4.33+3.67$ $+1.73=13.20$ ).

Variation: Female (range, mean $\pm$ s.d.): TL 7.98 13.17, $9.88 \pm 1.79$; CL $3.80-5.33,4.69 \pm 0.52$; CW $3.07-4.07,3.53 \pm 0.40 ; \mathrm{n}=7$.

Etymology. The specific epithet is a noun in apposition referring to the type locality.

Type material. $\delta^{\lambda}$ HOLOTYPE and $7 \uparrow$ PARATYPES 'Peru: Pasco: Oxapampa, tanque de agua, alt. $1909 \mathrm{~m}, 10^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 50.5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 75^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 46.5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, 15.i.2004, Silva, Granes \& Böttger, J. MUSM-ENT 0505181'.

Other material examined. See Electronic Supplement File 1.
Distribution. Only know from the type locality in Oxapampa at northern of Peru (Fig. 20C).


Fig. 19. Habitus, $\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{D}$ dorsal, $\mathbf{E}-\mathbf{F}$ ventral. A,B,E,F: Diapontia chamberlini sp.n.: A,E male; B,F female. C,D,G,H: Diapontia oxapampa sp.n.: C,G male; G,H female. -Scale bars: A,C-E,G,H 2.00 mm ; B,F 5.00 mm .

## 4. Discussion

### 4.1. Phylogeny of Lycosidae

Lycosidae and Trechaleidae were recovered with high posterior probability and strong bootstrap support, and the relationships between Lycosidae, Pisauridae and Trechaleidae corroborate the findings of studies based on morphological (Griswold 1993) and molecular (Wheeler et al. 2017; Albo et al. 2017) analyses, in which the Trechaleidae is the sister-group of Lycosidae (Fig. 1).

The intrafamiliar relationships of Lycosidae that were recovered in this study generally agree with the topology of the Bayesian tree obtained by Murphy et al. (2006: fig. 3) although we obtained greater support for Sosippinae and Artoriinae. The subfamily Allocosinae (Allocosa and Gnatholycosa), included here for the first time in a molecular phylogeny, were strongly supported as monophyletic, but the relationship with other lycosid subfamilies was not conclusive in our analysis. For example, the Allocosinae were recovered as sister to Xerolycosa (Evippinae) (Bayesian tree, with low support) or sister to Lycosinae + Pardosinae (maximum likelihood, with moderate bootstrap support; Figs. S2.5) as Dondae (1986) suggested. The subfamily Zoicinae, represented by the type genus Zoica Simon, 1898 was nested within Piratinae, represented by Pirata Sundevall, 1833 and Pi-
ratula Roewer, 1960, suggesting that Piratinae may be a junior synonym of Zoicinae. However, we have postponed a decision on this issue until a broader analysis, which is in progress by the first and last authors, is concluded.

### 4.2. Subfamily placement

Our molecular phylogenetic analyses recovered the genus Diapontia within Sosippinae, as suggested by Álvares \& Brescovit (2007), and this was confirmed by morphological characters, including the absence of a terminal apophysis and the presence of a lateral apophysis of the conductor. The characters regarding the tegular groove and the position of resting embolus, proposed by Dondale (1986) as diagnostic for Sosippinae are, however, absent in Diapontia and Hippasella (Piacentini 2011: fig. 2). Therefore, the diagnostic characters proposed for Sosippinae must be re-evaluated - a task that is beyond the scope of the present work.

### 4.3. Web evolution

The internal structure of Sosippinae that was recovered in our analyses indicates a tendency toward the loss of the funnel web in the subfamily. Independent multiple


Fig. 20. Distribution records of Diapontia species. A: Diapontia uruguayensis Keyserling (black circle); Diapontia niveovittata MelloLeitão (magenta triangle); Diapontia anfibia (Zapfe-Mann) comb.n. (green square). B: Diapontia securifera (Tullgren) comb.n. (red circle); Diapontia calama sp.n. (blue triangle); Diapontia songotal sp.n. (black invered triangle). C: Diapontia arapensis (Strand) comb.n. (black square); Diapontia chamberlini sp.n. (red circle); Diapontia oxapampa sp.n. (blue triangle).
loss of the funnel web on the different subfamilies of Lycosidae was suggested as a plausible scenario on the different subfamilies of Lycosidae by the reconstruction of ancestral states performed by Murphy et al. (2006). Species of Aglaoctenus and Sosippus spin a dense funnel web (Santos \& Brescovit 2001; Brady 2007). In Diapontia we found that $D$. uruguayensis, $D$. niveovittata and D. anfibia spin a weak funnel web, while other representatives such as $D$. chamberlini, $D$. songotal and $D$. securifera were collected under stones without any trace of webs. Although there are little data on the natural history of Hippasella, the presence of adult males and females of Hippasella alhue in pitfall traps suggests a vagrant lifestyle (Piacentini 2011).

### 4.4. Biogeography

Although interpretations of biogeographical patterns for Lycosidae are usually difficult (Framenau 2010) the genera of Sosippinae show an interesting geographic structure. Sosippus, sister to all other sossippines, is restricted to Southern part of North America and Central America (Brady 2007), and the rest of the subfamily is exclusively South American Within these, Aglaoctenus (Santos \& Brescovit 2001; Santos et al. 2003; Piacentini 2011) and Diapontia are known from Neotropical and Andean regions sensu Morrone (2014). The remaining genus, Hippasella, is restricted to the Andean region (Álvarez \& Brescovit 2007; Piacentini 2011).

### 4.5. DNA barcode analysis

As was previously found in other wolf spider genera (Nadonly et al. 2016; Blagoev et al. 2013; Sim et al. 2014), the genetic barcode marker COI is not able to differentiate all species of Diapontia. We could successfully identify $D$. securifera and $D$. anfibia as definite BINs, but $D$. niveovittata and $D$. uruguayensis were not unambiguously separated. One BIN (ACY4930) is composed by two $D$. niveovittata from Entre Ríos and the other (ACY4931) by the remaining specimens of $D$. niveovittata and D. uruguayensis mixed together. Remarkably, one exemplar of BIN ACY4930 (MACN-Ar 30520) was collected at the same time and place as one exemplar of BIN ACY4931 (MACN-Ar 30519); both exemplars were re-examined and their identifications were confirmed $D$. niveovittata by morphological characters. The split in two BINs of $D$. niveovittata may be explained by the small size of the sample which has great impact on the power of resolution of the "barcode gap" (Meyer \& Paulay 2005). Despite the small sample size, the presence of identical sequences in specimens of $D$. niveovitatta and $D$. uruguayensis indicate that this marker cannot be used to distinguish one from the other - a problem that is becoming more common as barcoding is applied to a growing list of closely related taxa. For example, this problem also occurs in two morphologically distinct wolf spider species that have identical COI sequences, Pardosa lugubris (Walckenaer, 1802) and Pardosa alacris (C.L. Koch, 1833), as reported by Nadolny et al. (2016) and the problem has also been realized for insects (Hebert et al. 2003; Burns et al. 2007; Raupach et al. 2010; Huemer et al. 2014; Klopfstein et al. 2016). The identical COI sequence may be the result of mitochondrial introgression caused by ongoing hybridization (Ретіт \& ExCOFFIER 2009; Klopfstein et al. 2016) or, alternatively, by maternally inherited symbionts such as Wolbachia, Rickettsia or Spiroplasma, which were reported in spiders (Lerette et al. 2006; Goodacre et al. 2006; Ceccarelli et al. 2016) and results in a considerable underestimation of species diversity using DNA barcoding (Whitworth et al. 2007). Improving methods to identify species of Diapontia using molecular markers will require the inclusion of more specimens and the use of nuclear markers such as ITS2 or rDNA that have been demonstrated to be good complements to COI (Raupach et al. 2010; Klopfstein et al. 2016).
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